

### Problem 1

First we observe that the process described here is a renewal process, since the lifetimes of the machines are independent of each other. The arrivals in this process correspond to the arrivals of a new machine or, equivalently, the break down of the current machine.

- a) If we condition the number of arrivals  $N(t)$  on the first arrival then because the renewal process starts again after the first arrival and does not depend on this arrival we get

$$\mathbb{E}[N(t)|X_1 = x] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x > t \\ 1 + \mathbb{E}[N(t-x)] & \text{if } x \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Combining this with the law of total probability we get

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= \mathbb{E}[N(t)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[N(t)|X_1 = x] dF(x) \\ &= \int_0^t 1 + \mathbb{E}[N(t-x)] dF(x) \\ &= F(t) + \int_0^t m(t-x) dF(x). \end{aligned}$$

- b) Consider a Renewal Process for which the expected cost incurred per unit time is determined according to:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[\text{Cost per cycle}]}{\mathbb{E}[\text{Cycle length}]}$$

Now, the length of a cycle is the time period a machine is functioning. Therefore, the expected time of the cycle is  $\mu$ . The expected cost per cycle is the cost of getting a new machine,  $c_1$  and the maintenance cost per time unit of functionality multiplied by the expected length of the functionality, which is  $c_2\mu$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[\text{Cost per cycle}]}{\mathbb{E}[\text{Cycle length}]} = \frac{c_1 + c_2\mu}{\mu}.$$

- c) We will apply the Renewal theorem to  $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)]$ . For this we need to show that this satisfies the renewal equation. For this we again condition on the first arrival and use the renewal argument. This gives us

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(t)|X_1 = x] = \begin{cases} x - t & \text{if } t \leq x \\ \mathbb{E}[Y(t-x)] & \text{if } t > x. \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[Y(t)|X_1 = x] dF(x) = \int_t^\infty (x-t) dF(x) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[Y(t-x)] dF(x).$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)]$  satisfies the renewal equation with  $a(t) = \int_t^\infty (x-t)dF(x)$ . In order to apply the Renewal Theorem we first need to show that  $\int_0^\infty |a(t)|dt < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |a(t)|dt &= \int_0^\infty a(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty (x-t)dF(x)dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^x (x-t)dt dF(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}x^2 dF(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the Renewal Theorem we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty a(t)dt = \frac{\mu^2 + \sigma^2}{2\mu}.$$

d) For all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{N(t)+1}] - t \\ &= \mu \mathbb{E}[N(t) + 1] - t \\ &= \mu \mathbb{E}[N(t)] + \mu - t \\ &= \mu m(t) + \mu - t. \end{aligned}$$

Now, when  $T$  is large enough we have  $\mathbb{E}[Y_T] \approx \frac{\mu^2 + \sigma^2}{2\mu}$ . Hence, using the above equation,

$$m(T) \approx \frac{1}{\mu} \left( T + \frac{\mu^2 + \sigma^2}{2\mu} \right) - 1.$$

## Problem 2

a) To show that  $Z_n$  is a martingale, we need to check:

- i)  $\mathbb{E}[|Z_n|] \leq m < \infty$ , since the state space is bounded from above by  $m$ .
- ii) First observe that if  $Z_n = 0$  or  $Z_n = m$  then  $p_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $j \neq m$ , respectively. Hence, in this case, we get  $\mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}|Z_n] = Z_n$ . Now, suppose that  $0 < Z_n < m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}|Z_n] &= \sum_{j=0}^m P_{Z_n j} j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{m!}{(m-j)!j!} \left(\frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{m-j} j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-j)!j!} \left(\frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{m-j} j \frac{Z_n}{m} \end{aligned}$$

Take  $k = j - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{m!(k+1)}{m(m-k-1)!(k+1)!} \left(\frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{m-k-1} Z_n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m-1)!}{(m-1-k)!k!} \left(\frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{m-k-1} Z_n \\
&= \left(\frac{Z_n}{m} + 1 - \frac{Z_n}{m}\right)^{m-1} Z_n = Z_n
\end{aligned}$$

b) Define the following stopping time:

$$T = \min_n \{Z_n = 0 \text{ or } Z_n = m\}.$$

Then, since  $Z_n$  is a Markov chain with absorbing states 0 and  $m$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{E}[|Z_{n+1} - Z_n| | Z_0, \dots, Z_n] \leq m$  for all  $n$  because the state space is bounded from above by  $m$ . Therefore we can apply Corollary 3.1 (p260) from which it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_T] = \mathbb{E}[Z_0] = z_0. \quad (1)$$

Now denote by  $v_0$  the probability that state 0 is achieved before state  $m$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_T] = v_0 \cdot 0 + (1 - v_0)m = (1 - v_0)m.$$

Plugging this into equation (1), we get

$$v_0 = 1 - \frac{z_0}{m}.$$

c) Since  $Z_n$  is a martingale, it is also a submartingale. Additionally, using again the fact that the state space is bounded,  $\sup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[|X_n|] \leq m < \infty$ . Hence, by the Martingale Convergence Theorem 5.1(a) (p278), there exists a random variable  $Z$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = Z_\infty\right) = 1.$$

Because  $Z_n$  is an absorbing Markov chain with absorbing states 0 and  $m$ , we either end in state 0 with probability  $v_0 = 1 - \frac{z_0}{m}$  or in state  $m$  with probability  $\frac{z_0}{m}$ . Therefore it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = 1 - \frac{z_0}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(Z = m) = \frac{z_0}{m},$$

which completely determines the distribution of  $Z$ .

**Problem 3**

- a) First observe that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1$  for all  $i$ . For the expectation we then have

$$\mathbb{E}[|M_n|] = \mathbb{E}[|S_n^2 - n|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|S_n^2| + n] \leq \mathbb{E}[n|X_i^2| + n] = 2n < \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}|M_n] &= \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}|S_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(S_n + X_{n+1})^2 - (n+1)|S_n] \\ &= S_n^2 - n + 2S_n\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}^2] - 1 \\ &= S_n^2 - n = M_n, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof that  $M_n$  is a martingale.

- b) Since  $T$  bounds the state space, it follows that  $X_n$  is a positive recurrent Markov chain, which implies that the hitting of each state from any other state has finite expectation, hence  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ . Moreover, since for all  $n < T$ ,  $|S_n| < a$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[|M_{n+1} - M_n||S_0, \dots, S_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[|S_{n+1}^2 - (n+1) - (S_n^2 - n)||S_0, \dots, S_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[|2X_{n+1}S_n + X_{n+1}^2 - 1||S_0, \dots, S_n] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|2X_{n+1}S_n||S_0, \dots, S_n] + \mathbb{E}[|X_{n+1}^2||S_0, \dots, S_n] + 1 \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}[|X_{n+1}||S_n||S_0, \dots, S_n] + 2 \\ &= 2|S_n|\mathbb{E}[|X_{n+1}||S_0, \dots, S_n] + 2 \\ &= 2(|S_n| + 1) < 2(a+1) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can apply Corollary 3.1 (p260), from which we get that

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = \mathbb{E}[M_T] = a^2 - \mathbb{E}[T],$$

which in turn implies that  $\mathbb{E}[T] = a^2$ .

- c) For  $Y_n$  to be a martingale, it needs to satisfy the following two conditions:

- i)  $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] \leq \infty$  for all  $n$ , and
- ii)  $\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}|Y_n] = Y_n$  for all  $n$ .

The first condition follows, for all finite  $b$  and  $c$ , from the observation that  $Y_0 = 1$  and for  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] &= \mathbb{E}[|e^{bS_n - cn}|] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{bS_n - cn}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{b\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} e^{-cn}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{bX_i}]^n e^{-cn} \\ &= e^{-cn} (pe^b + qe^{-b})^n < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the second condition we need that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}|Y_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{bS_{n+1}-c(n+1)}|Y_n\right] = Y_n e^{-c} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{bX_{n+1}}\right] \\ &= Y_n e^{-c}(pe^b + e^{-b}q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $e^{-c}(pe^b + qe^{-b}) = 1$ .

d) Suppose that  $Y_n$  is a martingale then, because  $Y_n$  is a Markov chain with positive drift ( $p > 1/2$ ),  $\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \infty) = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |Y_{n \wedge T_1}|\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |e^{bS_{n \wedge T_1} - c(n \wedge T_1)}|\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |e^{bS_{n \wedge T_1}}| |e^{-c(n \wedge T_1)}|\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |e^{bS_{n \wedge T_1}}|\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $T_1$ ,  $S_{n \wedge T_1} < 1$  for all  $n$ . Hence if  $b \geq 0$ , then  $bS_{n \wedge T_1} < b$  for all  $n$  and it then follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |Y_{n \wedge T_1}|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n \geq 0} |e^{bS_{n \wedge T_1}}|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^b] = e^b < \infty.$$

We can now apply Theorem 3.1 (p), to get

$$1 = \mathbb{E}[Y_0] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{T_1}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{b-cT_1}] = e^b \mathbb{E}[e^{-cT_1}],$$

hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-cT_1}] = e^{-b}.$$

From c) we know that if  $Y_n$  is a martingale, then  $e^{-c}(pe^b + e^{-b}q) = 1$ . By solving this equation for  $e^{-b}$  we can express  $\mathbb{E}[e^{-cT_1}]$  as a function of  $c$ .

Take  $x = e^{-b}$ , then we arrive at the following quadratic equation:

$$qx^2 - e^c x + p = 0,$$

who's solutions are given by

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{e^c \pm \sqrt{e^{2c} - 4pq}}{2q}.$$

Note that the function  $f(p) = 4p(1-p)$  is decreasing for  $p > 1/2$  and  $f(1/2) = 1$ . Hence, since  $e^{2c} > 1$  for  $c > 0$  it follows that  $e^{2c} - 4pq = e^{2c} - 4p(1-p) > 0$ , whenever  $c > 0$  and  $p > 1/2$ .

We now will see which of the two solutions  $x_{\pm}$  we need. Since  $x = e^{-b}$  and we need  $b \geq 0$  we then must have  $x \leq 1$ . Because  $q < 1/2$ , there exists a  $k > 2$  such that  $kq > 1$ . If we take  $c = \ln(kq) > 0$  then

$$x_+ = \frac{kq + \sqrt{kq - 4pq}}{2q} > 1,$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-cT_1}] = e^{-b} = x_- = \frac{e^c - \sqrt{e^{2c} - 4pq}}{2q}.$$

**Problem 4**

- a) i) Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a stationary Gaussian process. Then, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X(t)$  and  $X(0)$  have the same distributions. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[X(0)] = \mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Let  $s, t \geq 0$  with  $s \leq t$ . Then, using the fact that  $X(t_1), X(t_2)$  and  $X(t_1 + s), X(t_2 + s)$  have the same joint distributions for all  $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}[X(s)X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[X(0 + s)X((t - s) + s)] = \mathbb{E}[X(0)X(t - s)].$$

From this it follows that

$$\text{Cov}(X(s)X(t)) = \mathbb{E}[X(s)X(t)] - \mathbb{E}[X(s)]\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[X(0)X(t - s)] - c^2$$

which proves that  $\text{Cov}(X(s)X(t))$  only depends on  $t - s$ .

- ii) Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a Gaussian process which satisfies the given properties and take  $s, t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ . The joint density function  $f(\vec{x})$  of  $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n)$  of a Gaussian process is given by

$$f(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n |\Sigma|}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu}) \Sigma (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T \right\}$$

where  $\vec{\mu} = (\mathbb{E}[X(t_1)], \dots, \mathbb{E}[X(t_n)])$ ,  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix of  $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n)$ , i.e.  $\Sigma_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X(t_i)X(t_j))$  and  $|\Sigma|$  is its determinant. Because  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = c$  for all  $t \geq 0$  we get that  $\vec{\mu}$  is the constant  $c$  vector. Moreover, since  $\text{Cov}(X(s)X(t))$  depends only on  $t - s$  for  $s \leq t$  we get

$$\text{Cov}(X(t_i + s)X(t_j + s)) = \text{Cov}(X(t_i)X(t_j)) = \Sigma_{ij}.$$

This implies that the covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$  of  $X(t_1 + s), \dots, X(t_n + s)$  equals  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, the joint density function  $\hat{f}(\vec{x})$  of  $X(t_1 + s), \dots, X(t_n + s)$  equals  $f(\vec{x})$  which proves that  $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n)$  and  $X(t_1 + s), \dots, X(t_n + s)$  have the same joint distribution.

- b) We first establish the identity in the hint.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t + s) &= e^{-(t+s)} B(e^{2(t+s)}) \\ &= e^{-(t+s)} \left( B(e^{2(t+s)}) + B(e^{2t}) - B(e^{2t}) \right) \\ &= e^{-(t+s)} B(e^{2t}) + e^{-(t+s)} \left( B(e^{2(t+s)}) - B(e^{2t}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $B(e^{2(t+s)}) - B(e^{2t}) = \mathcal{N}(0, e^{2(t+s)} - e^{2t})$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(t+s)} \left( B(e^{2(t+s)}) - B(e^{2t}) \right) &= \mathcal{N}(0, e^{-2(t+s)}(e^{2(t+s)} - e^{2t})) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(0, 1 - e^{-2s}) \\ &= \sqrt{1 - e^{-2s}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the required identity.

c) We compute the covariance of  $Z(t)$  process as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(e^{-t}B(e^{2t}), e^{-s}B(e^{2s})) &= e^{-t}e^{-s}\text{Cov}(B(e^{2t}), B(e^{2s})) \\ &= e^{-t}e^{-s} \min e^{2t}, e^{2s} = e^{|t-s|} \end{aligned}$$

d) Firstly, we showed in c) that the covariance of the  $Z(t)$  process depends only on  $t - s$ .

Secondly,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-t}B(e^{2t})] = 0 < \infty,$$

where in the last equality we used that  $B(e^{2t})$  is B.M. with mean 0.

It follows now from a) that  $Z(t)$  is a stationary Gaussian process.