

Exam Limits to Computing (201300042)

Thursday, November 2, 2017, 8:45 – 11:45

- You can bring printouts of the sheets, lecture notes, exercises, solutions (mine and yours) to the exam or anything else printed or written on paper.
- Books and electronic devices of any kind are not allowed.
- This exam consists of five problems.
- Please start a new page for each problem.
- The total number of points is $63+7 = 70$. The distribution of points is according to the following table.

1: 12	2a: 6	3: 10	4a: 4	5a: 3
	2b: 7		4b: 6	5b: 3
	2c: 3		4c: 4	5c: 5

1. NP-Completeness

An instance of SETCOVER is a finite set U together with subsets $S_1, \dots, S_m \subseteq U$ and a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The question is if there exists k of these subsets that cover all elements in U .

More formally, an instance as described above is a “yes” instance if there exists a set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| \leq k$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = U.$$

(12 points) Prove that SETCOVER is NP-complete.

Hint: VERTEXCOVER = $\{(G, k) \mid \text{undirected graph } G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } k\}$ is NP-complete.

2. Decidability and Recursive Enumerability

We call a (partial) function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ *weakly increasing* if it satisfies the following property: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n, n + 1 \in \text{dom}(f)$, we have $f(n) \leq f(n + 1)$.

Example: The following function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is weakly increasing:

$$n \mapsto f(n) \begin{cases} = 100 & \text{if } n < 10, \\ = n & \text{if } 10 < n < 20, \\ = 0 & \text{if } 20 < n, \text{ and} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } n = 10 \text{ or } n = 20. \end{cases}$$

Consider the following decision problem:

$$\text{WEAKINC} = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \varphi_g \text{ is weakly increasing}\}.$$

- (a) (6 points) Is $\text{WEAKINC} \in \text{REC}$? Prove your answer.
- (b) (7 points) Prove that $\text{WEAKINC} \in \text{co-RE}$.
- (c) (3 points) Is $\text{WEAKINC} \in \text{RE}$? Prove your answer.

3. Logarithmic Space

For a decision problem $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, let

$$A^* = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \exists y_1, \dots, y_m \in A : x = y_1 y_2 \dots y_m\}$$

In other words, A^* consists of all finite concatenations of strings in A .

Example: If $A = \{00, 1\}$, then

$$A^* = \{\varepsilon, 1, 00, 11, 001, 100, 111, 0000, 0011, 1001, 1100, \dots\}.$$

(10 points) Prove the following: If $A \in \text{NL}$, then $A^* \in \text{NL}$.

To do this, sketch a non-deterministic logarithmic space-bounded Turing machine that accepts A^* . Give reasons why your Turing machine correctly accepts A^* and why it is logarithmic space-bounded.

4. NP and co-NP

Let

MAJORITYSAT = $\{F \mid \text{Boolean formula } F \text{ is satisfied by more than half of all assignments}\}$.

This means that a Boolean formula F on n variables is contained in MAJORITYSAT if and only if at least $2^{n-1} + 1$ of the possible assignments satisfy the formula.

Let

TAUTOLOGY = $\{F \mid \text{Boolean formula } F \text{ is satisfied by all assignments}\}$.

- (a) Let F be a Boolean formula on n variables, and let y be a Boolean variable that does not appear in F .

(4 points) Prove the following:

$$F \in \text{SAT} \iff F \vee y \in \text{MAJORITYSAT}.$$

- (b) Let M_m be the following Boolean formula over variables y_1, \dots, y_m :

$$M_m = y_1 \vee \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bar{y}_i \right).$$

This means that

$$M_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_1 = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_m = 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

Note that M_m has exactly $2^{m-1} + 1$ satisfying assignments, which is just one more than half of all possible assignments to its variables. (You do not have to prove this.)

Let F be a Boolean formula over n variables, and let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} be Boolean variables that do not appear in F .

(6 points) Prove the following:

$$F \in \text{TAUTOLOGY} \iff F \wedge M_{n+1} \in \text{MAJORITYSAT}.$$

- (c) (4 points) Taking into account that SAT is NP-complete and that TAUTOLOGY is co-NP-complete, discuss whether MAJORITYSAT is in NP or not.

5. Questions

Are the following statements true or false? Justify your answers.

- (a) (3 points) If $\text{NP} \neq \text{co-NP}$, then $\text{P} \neq \text{PSPACE}$.
- (b) (3 points) If $\text{NP} = \text{P}$, then there exists a constant c such that we have $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{DTime}(O(n^c))$.
- (c) (5 points) The following statement holds for all sets $A, B, C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$:
If $A \notin \text{REC}$ and $C \notin \text{REC}$, then $B \notin \text{REC}$.