## Optimal Control (course code: 156162)

Date: 05-04-2011 Place: 08:45-11:45 Time: CR-2M

1. Consider the nonlinear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1\\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(x_1)(1-x_2)\\ x_2 + \sin(2x_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Determine all points of equilibrium.
- (b) Determine the linearization at  $\bar{x} = (\pi/2, 0)$ .
- 2. Show that  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$  is positive definite.

3. Determine a Lyapunov function for  $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  at equilibrium  $\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- 4. Formulate LaSalle's Invariance Principle.
- 5. Consider minimizing the cost function

$$J := \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}x^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}(t) + x(t))^2 dt$$

(a) Find the function x(t) that satisfies the Euler equation for this cost J, with initial and final condition

$$x(0) = x_0, \qquad x(1) = 0.$$

- (b) Does this x(t) satisfy the necessary second order condition of minimality of J?
- 6. Consider the linear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = u(t), \qquad x(0) = x_0$$

with cost function

$$J(x_0, u) := \int_0^T \frac{1}{2}x^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}(u(t) + x(t))^2 dt.$$

We assume that u(t) at any t is free to choose (i.e.  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

- (a) Write down the Bellman equation for this problem and show that a quadratic value function of the form  $V(x,t) = P(t)x^2$  will do and derive the differential equation for P(t).
- (b) (deleted)g
- (c) (deleted)

- (d) (deleted)
- (e) (deleted)
- (f) (deleted)
- 7. Let  $\Psi, \Phi$  be two real valued functions. Suppose a twice continuously differentiable x minimizes the cost function

$$\Psi(x(T)) - \Phi(x(0)) + \int_0^T F(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt$$

Show that this x(t) satisfies the Euler equation. (Notice that this is a free initial- and end-point problem, meaning that both x(0) and x(T) are free to choose.)

problem:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
points:	3+3	2	4	3	5+2	6+3+2+2+2+3	4
Exam grade is $1 + 9p/p_{\text{max}}$ .							

Euler:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)F(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = 0$$

Beltrami:

$$F - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} \dot{x} = C$$

Hamiltonian equations (with  $H = p^T f(x, u) + L(x, u)$ ) for initial conditioned state:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, p, u), \qquad x(0) = x_0,$$
  
$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(x, p, u), \qquad p(t_e) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}(x(t_e))$$

LQ Riccati differential equation:

$$\dot{P}(t) = -P(t)A - A^T P(t) + P(t)BR^{-1}B^T P(t) - Q, \qquad P(t_e) = G$$

Bellman:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(x,t) + \min_{v \in \mathcal{U}} \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial x^T}(x,t) f(x,v) + L(x,v) \right] = 0, \qquad W(x,t_e) = S(x)$$

1. (a) From the first equations we see that  $x_1 = \pi/2 + k\pi$  or  $x_2 = 1$ . If  $x_1 = \pi/2 + k\pi$  then the 2nd eqn says that  $x_2 = 0$ . If  $x_2 = 1$  then the 2nd eqn says that  $x_1 = -\pi/4 + n\pi$ . So:

$$(\pi/2 + k\pi, 0)$$
 and  $(-\pi/4 + n\pi, 1)$ 

(b) The linearization is  $\dot{x}_{\Delta} = A x_{\Delta}$  with

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(x_1)(1-x_2) & -\cos(x_1) \\ 2\cos(2x_1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{x=(\pi/2,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2.  $P_{11} = 4 > 0$  and det  $P = 4 \times \frac{1}{2} 1 \times 1 = 2 1 = 1 > 0$
- 3. Many answers possible here.

One method: solve,  $A^T P + PA = -I$  for P. This gives three equations in three unknowns. The solution (derivation not shown) is

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 3/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By construction then  $V(x) := x^T P x$  has time derivative  $x^T(-I)x = -x_1^2 - x_2^2$ which is < 0 for all  $x \neq 0$ . This  $\tilde{P}$  is positive definite because  $P_{11} = 1/2 > 0$ and det  $\tilde{P} = 1/2 > 0$ . Hence V(x) is a Lyapunov function.

- 4. See lecture notes (either Thm. 1.2.15 or Thm. 1.2.17 whichever you like)
- 5. (a)

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)F(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))$$
$$= (x + (\dot{x} + x)) - \frac{d}{dt}(\dot{x} + x)$$
$$= 2x + \dot{x} - \ddot{x} - \dot{x}$$
$$= 2x - \ddot{x}.$$

Hence

$$x(t) = \alpha e^{\sqrt{2}t} + \beta e^{-\sqrt{2}t}$$

Now

$$x_0 = x(0) = \alpha + \beta, \quad 0 = x(1) = \alpha e^{\sqrt{2}} + \beta e^{-\sqrt{2}}$$

From the second it follows that  $\alpha = -\beta e^{-2\sqrt{2}}$ . The initial condition now says  $x_0 = \beta(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{2}})$ . Hence

$$x(t) = \frac{-e^{\sqrt{2}(t-2)} + e^{-\sqrt{2}t}}{1 - e^{-2\sqrt{2}}} x_0$$

(b)  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial (\dot{x} + x)}{\partial \dot{x}} = 1 > 0$ . It is postive, so answer is yes.

6. (a) Try  $V(x,t) = x^2 P_t$  in the Belmann equations (I use subscript in t for expository reasons):

$$0 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(x,t) + \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x,t) f(x,v) + L(x,v) \right]$$
$$= x^2 \dot{P}_t + \min_{v \in \mathbb{R}} (2x P_t v + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(v+x)^2)$$

the minimizing v follows from differentiation:  $2xP_t + (v + x) = 0$ , hence  $v = -x(1 + 2P_t)$ . We continue with this v plugged in:

$$= x^{2}\dot{P}_{t} + xP_{t}(-2x(1+2P_{t})) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(2xP_{t})^{2}$$

As in standard LQ, a common factor  $x^2$  can be cancelled from the Belmann equation to obtain:

$$0 = \dot{P}_t - 2P_t(1+2P_t) + \frac{1}{2} + 2P_t^2$$
$$= \dot{P}_t - 2P_t^2 - 2P_t + \frac{1}{2}$$

and the final condition of  $P_t$  is  $S(x) = 0 = x^2 P_T$ , i.e.,  $P_T = 0$ . This completes the Riccati differential equations. The solution  $P_t$  makes  $V(x,t) := x^2 P_t$  satisfy the Bellman equation.

- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)
- (f)
- 7. Method 1 (this is a bit vague): The Euler equation holds if we optimize over x(t) with given initial and final condition. If we relax those two conditions then we optimize over a bigger set so the first order conditions for optimality become stronger (i.e. Euler holds and something more).

Method 2 (probably more convincing): Suppose x(t) is an optimal solution. If Euler does not hold then a perturbation  $x_{\delta}(t) := x(t) + \delta(t)$  with  $\delta(0) = \delta(T) = 0$  exists that achieves a smaller value for  $\int_0^T F(t, x_{\delta}(t), \dot{x}_{\delta}(t)) dt$ . The  $\Psi(x(T)) - \Phi(x(0))$  are the same for x and  $x_{\delta}$  because  $\delta(0) = \delta(T) = 0$ . So then  $x_{\delta}$  achieves a smaller value of

$$\Psi(x(T)) - \Phi(x(0)) + \int_0^T F(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt$$

as well.