

Random Signals and Filtering (201200135)

Final Exam (with 4 questions)
Thursday 14/04/2016, 13:45 – 16:45

Full Marks: 30
Instructor: P. K. Mandal

Formulate your answers clearly and present them in a well-structured manner.

1. Prove that the intersection of two σ -fields is again a σ -field. [4]

2. Recall the (semi-)measure theoretic definition of conditional expectation:

For an integrable r.v. X , i.e., $E(|X|) < \infty$, $E(X|Y)$ is defined to be the function $h(Y)$ where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is (Borel) measurable and satisfies

$$E[h(Y)g(Y)] = E[Xg(Y)] \quad \text{for all bounded measurable function } g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

To determine the function $h(\cdot)$ in the definition above one often resorts to the non-measure theoretic definition of conditional probability distribution.

(a). Consider square integrable r.v.s U , V and W , where U is independent of V and W . Show from the (measure theoretic) definition that $E(UV|W) = E(U)E(V|W)$. [3]

(b.) Consider the following nonlinear system: for $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k+1} &= X_k \sqrt{W_k} \\ Y_k &= \sqrt{X_k} V_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the initial state X_0 , and for $k \geq 0$, the noises W_k , V_k are all Uniform(0,1). Furthermore, X_0 , $\{W_k\}$, and $\{V_k\}$ are mutually independent and the noise sequences $\{W_k\}$ and $\{V_k\}$ are white.

(i) Determine $E(X_0|Y_0)$. [3]

(ii) Determine $E(X_1|Y_0)$. [2]

3.(a.) What is meant by linear innovations corresponding to a sequence of measurements Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n ? Show that linear innovations are uncorrelated. [3]

(b.) Obtain the first two innovations for the following nonlinear system: for $k \geq 0$, [3]

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k+1} &= X_k^2 + W_k \\ Y_k &= X_k + V_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the initial state X_0 , and for $k \geq 0$, the noises W_k , V_k are all $N(0,1)$. Furthermore, X_0 , $\{W_k\}$, and $\{V_k\}$ are mutually independent and the noise sequences $\{W_k\}$ and $\{V_k\}$ are white.

4. Recall that in a particle filter algorithm at time k , x_k^i is drawn from a proposal/importance density $\pi(x_k; x_{k-1}^i, y_k)$ and subsequently the weights are updated. The weight update step involves the calculation of the unnormalized weights:

$$\tilde{w}_k^i = w_{k-1}^i \frac{p(y_k | x_k^i) p(x_k^i | x_{k-1}^i)}{\pi(x_k^i; x_{k-1}^i, y_k)}.$$

- (a.) Show that this equation becomes $\tilde{w}_k^i = w_{k-1}^i p(y_k | x_{k-1}^i)$ when one uses the “optimal importance density”, i.e., $\pi(x_k; x_{k-1}, y_k) = p(x_k | x_{k-1}, y_k)$. [2]

In general, it is not easy to determine the optimal importance density $p(x_k | x_{k-1}, y_k)$. Neither is it easy to draw samples from it. However, it is possible to do so when the measurement equation is linear-Gaussian.

Consider again the (real-valued) nonlinear system in question 3(b).

- (b.) Argue that given $X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}$, the (conditional) joint distribution of X_k and Y_k is Gaussian and determine it completely. [3]

- (c.) Determine $p(x_k | y_k, x_{k-1})$ using the following fact. [2]

If X and Y are random vectors distributed jointly as Gaussian, then the conditional distribution of X given $Y = y$ is Gaussian with mean and covariance (matrix), given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= E(X) + \text{Cov}(X, Y) \text{Cov}(Y)^{-1} (y - E(Y)) \\ \Sigma(y) &= \text{Cov}(X) - \text{Cov}(X, Y) \text{Cov}(Y)^{-1} \text{Cov}(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

- (d.) Give the pseudo code for a generic iteration step of the particle filter, i.e., how to obtain $(x_k^i, w_k^i)_{i=1}^N$ from $(x_{k-1}^i, w_{k-1}^i)_{i=1}^N$ and the measurement y_k . [5]

Assume that you have access to a command `normpdf(x, m, s)` allowing you to evaluate the normal pdf (with mean m and variance s^2) at point x and the command `randn(m, s)` to generate a sample from it.