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## 1 Measures, Integrals, and Foundations of Probability Theory

### 1.1 Measure theory and Integration

Definition 1. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $\Omega$ is called a $\sigma$-algebra if:

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
2. $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^{c} \in \mathcal{F}$
3. $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$

Example 1. Some examples of $\sigma$-algebra's:

- $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ is a trivial $\sigma$-algebra
- The power set $2^{\Omega}$, which is the collection of all subsets of $A$ is a $\sigma$-algebra.

Example 2. Given a family of sets $A$, there is a smallest $\sigma$-algebra which contains $A$. Notation: $\sigma(A)$, called the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $A$.

Example 3. The Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, (notation $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by all open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Example 4. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Let $\{f \in B\}=\{\omega \in \Omega: f(\omega) \in B\}$. The collection $\mathcal{O}(f):=\{\{f \in B\}: B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}\}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra in $\Omega$. It is called the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $f$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ be a measurable space. $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called measurable/Borel measurable if $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ it holds that $\{f \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

- Sums, product, etc. of measurable functions are measurable.
- Limits, countable suprema and infima are measurable.

Definition 2. A mapping: $\mu: f \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a measure if

1. $\mu(\emptyset)=0$
2. $\forall$ disjoint $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\mu\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)$

## Caratheodory extension Theorem:

Definition 3. For a given set $\Omega$, we may define a $\operatorname{ring} R$ as a subset of the powerset of $\Omega$ which has the following properties

- $\emptyset \in R$
- For all $A, B \in R$ we have $A \cup B \in R$
- For all $A, B \in R$ we have $A \backslash B \in R$

This theorem states that if there exists a measure $\mu$ on a ring $R$ then there exists a measure $\mu^{*}$ on the sigma algebra of that ring such that $\mu^{*}$ is an extension of $\mu\left(\right.$ That is, $\left.\mu^{*}\right|_{R}=\mu$ )

## Dynkin uniqueness of measure

Definition 4. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set, and let $D$ be a collection of subsets of $\Omega$. Then $D$ is a $\lambda$-system if

1. $\Omega \in D$
2. If $A, B \in D$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $B \backslash A \in D$.
3. If $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$ is a sequence of subsets in $D$ and $A_{n} \subseteq A_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in D$

Equivalently, $D$ is a $\pi$-system if

1. $\Omega \in D$
2. If $A \in D$ then $A^{c} \in D$.
3. If $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$ is a sequence of subsets in $D$ and $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in D$

An important fact is that a $\lambda$-system which is also a $\pi$-system (i.e. closed under finite intersection) is a $\sigma$-algebra

Theorem 1 (Dynkin's $\pi-\lambda$ theorem). If $P$ is $a \pi$-system and $D$ is a $\lambda$-system with $P \subseteq D$ then $\sigma(P) \subseteq D$. In other words the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $P$ is contained in $D$.

Completion of measure There are certain technical benefits to having the following property in a measure space $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ called completion: if $N \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\mu(N)=0$, then every subset of $N$ is measurable and then of course has measure zero.

It turns out that this can always be arranged by a simple enlargement of the $\sigma$-algebra. Let
$\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\{F \in X:$ there exists $B, N \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F \subseteq N$ such that $\mu(N)=0$ and $A=B \cup F\}$

### 1.2 Lebesgue measure

There exists a measure on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ which satisfies $\mu\left(\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right)=\right.$ $\prod_{n=1}^{d}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$.
Integration: $f=\sum_{i} c_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}$ then $\int f \mathrm{~d} \mu=\sum_{i} c_{i} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$.
The power of Lebesgue-integration lies in the fact that one can prove convergence theorems such as monotone convergence and dominated convergence.

Theorem 2 (Monotone convergence theorem). Let $f_{n}$ be nonnegative measurable functions, ans assume $f_{n} \leq f_{n+1}$ almost everywhere, for each $n$. Let $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$. This limit exists at least almost everywhere. Then.

$$
\int f d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n} d \mu
$$

Theorem 3 (Dominated convergence theorem). Let $f_{n}$ be measurable functions, and assume the limit $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$ exists almost everywhere. Assume there exists a function $g \geq 0$ such that $\left|f_{n}\right| \leq g$ almost everywhere for each $n$ and $\int g d \mu<\infty$. Then

$$
\int f d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n} d \mu
$$

$L^{p}$-spaces: For a Borel-measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let $\|f\|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)=\left\{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\right.$ measurable $\left.:\|f\|_{p}<\infty\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ is a vector space. $\|.\|_{L^{p}}$ is not a norm because $\|f\|_{L^{p}}=0 \nRightarrow f=0$. Let $f \sim g$ if $f=g$ almost everywhere, which is an equivalence relation. Then $L^{p}=\mathcal{L}^{p} \backslash \sim$ becomes a normed space. Moreover $L^{p}$ is a complete space.
Hölder's inequality: $\|f \cdot g\|_{L^{1}} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{q}}$ for $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$
Theorem 4 (Fubini's theorem). Let $f \in L^{1}(\mu \otimes \nu)$. Then $f_{x} \in L^{1}(\nu)$ for $\mu$-almost every $x, f_{y} \in L^{1}(\mu)$ for $\nu$-almost every $y, g \in L^{1}(\mu)$ and $h \in L^{1}(\nu)$. Iterated integration as follows, is valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \otimes \nu) & =\int_{X}\left\{\int_{Y} f(x,) \nu(d y)\right\} \mu(d x) \\
& =\int_{Y}\left\{\int_{X} f(x, y) \mu(d x)\right\} \nu(d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.3 Probability spaces

We call $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ a probability space if $P(\Omega)=1$.
Definition 5. $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a random variable if it is measurable.
Definition 6. $\sigma$-algebras $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots$ are independent if

$$
P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \quad \forall A_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{i} \quad \forall i \leq n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Definition 7. $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots,: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are independent if $\sigma\left(X_{1}\right), \sigma\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots$, are independent.

Image measure: $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mu_{X}(B)=P(X \in B), B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$
Expectation: $\mathbb{E}[X]=\int_{\Omega} X \mathrm{~d} P$
Theorem 5. $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are independent $\Longleftrightarrow$ the distribution of $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is $\mu=\mu_{X_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{X_{n}}$
Theorem 6. If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then $\mathbb{E}[X Y]=\mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y]$ and $X \in L^{p}, Y \in L^{p^{\prime}}$ then $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$

Proof. $\mu_{X}(B)=P(X \in B), \mu_{Y}(B)=P(Y \in B)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[X] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y]=\iint x y \mathrm{~d} \mu_{X}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{Y}(y) \\
& \underbrace{=}_{\text {Fubini }} \iint x y \mathrm{~d} \mu_{X} \times \mu_{Y}(x, y) \\
& \underbrace{=}_{\text {independence }} \mathbb{E}[X Y]
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 8. Almost surely (a.s.) means with probability 1
Definition 9. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of random variables and $X$ a random variable, all real valued.

1. $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ almost surely if

$$
P\left\{\omega: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega)\right\}=1
$$

2. $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability if for every $\epsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\omega:\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0
$$

3. $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right|^{p}\right]=0
$$

4. $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in distribution (also called weakly) if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X_{n} \leq x\right)=P(X \leq x)
$$

for each $x$ at which $F(x)$ is continuous.
Theorem 7 (Theorem 1.21). Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $X$ be real-valued random variables on a common probability space.

1. If $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ almost surely or in $L^{p}$ for some $1 \leq p<\infty$, then $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability.
2. If $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability, then $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ weakly.
3. If $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability, then there exists a subsequence $X_{n_{k}}$ such that $X_{n_{k}} \rightarrow X$ almost surely.
4. Suppose $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability. Then $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{1}$ if and only if $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly integrable.

### 1.4 Conditional Expectations

Example 5. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, z, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ be distinct. Now let $X: \Omega \rightarrow\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}, Z: \Omega \rightarrow\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$. Recall: $P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Z=z_{j}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{P\left(X=x_{i}, Z=z_{j}\right)}{P\left(Z=z_{j}\right)}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[X \mid Z=z_{j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Z=\right.$ $\left.z_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{P\left(Z=z_{j}\right)} \int_{\left\{Z=z_{j}\right\}} X \mathrm{~d} P$.
A possible definition of $Y=\mathbb{E}[X \mid Z]$ could be $Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Y=\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{Z=z_{j}\right\}}$, where $Y_{j}=\mathbb{E}\left[X \mid Z=z_{j}\right]$.
How to extend this to general $X$ ? Let $A=\sigma(Z)$
Observation 1: $Y$ is constant on sets $\left\{Z=z_{j}\right\}$ thus $Y$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable.
Observation 2: $\int Y \mathrm{~d} P=y_{j} \cdot P\left(Z=z_{j}\right)=\int_{\left\{Z=z_{j}\right\}} X \mathrm{~d} P$. Thus $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$ : $\int_{G} Y \mathrm{~d} P=\int_{G} X \mathrm{~d} P$

Definition 10. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Let $X \in L^{1}(P)$ and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a sub- $\sigma$-algebra.
We say that $Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the conditional expectation of $X$ given $\mathcal{A}$ if:

1. $Y$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable.
2. $Y \in L^{1}(P)$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} \int_{A} Y \mathrm{~d} P=\int_{A} x \mathrm{~d} P$

Notation: $Y(\omega)=\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}](\omega)$ or $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]$
Note that $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]]=\mathbb{E}[X]$
Theorem 8 (Uniqueness). If $Y$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are both conditional expectations of $X$ given $\mathcal{A}$ then $Y=\tilde{Y}$ a.s.

Proof. Let $\Delta Y=Y-\tilde{Y}$. Then $\Delta Y$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}: \int_{A} \Delta Y \mathrm{~d} P=0$ Let $A_{1}=\{\Delta Y \geq 0\}$ and $A_{2}=\{\Delta Y<0\}$. Then $\mathbb{E}[|\Delta Y|]=\int_{A_{1}} \Delta Y \mathrm{~d} P-$ $\int_{A_{2}} \Delta Y \mathrm{~d} P=0-0=0$. Thus $|\Delta Y|=0$ a.s., thus $Y=\tilde{Y}$ a.s.

Definition 11. In this case $Y$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are called versions of $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]$
Theorem 9. Properties of conditional expectation Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Let $X, Y \in L^{1}(P), \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be sub- $\sigma$-fields. Then:

1. $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]]=\mathbb{E}[X]$
2. (Linearity) $\mathbb{E}[\alpha X+\beta Y \mid \mathcal{A}]=\alpha \mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]+\beta \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{A}], \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$
3. (Positivity) If $X \geq Y$ then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{A}]$.
4. If $X$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]=X$.
5. (Taking out what is known). If $X$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable and $X Y \in L^{1}(P)$, then $\mathbb{E}[X Y \mid \mathcal{A}]=X \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{A}]$
6. (Independence) If $X$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are independent, then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]=\mathbb{E}[X]$
7. (Tower property) If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{B}] \mid \mathcal{A}]=\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]$ and also $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}] \mid \mathcal{B}]=$ $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]$ by 4.
8. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{B}]$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable, then $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{B}]=\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}]$.
9. (Jensen's inequality) Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex, $-\infty \leq a<b<\leq \infty$. Assume that $a<X<b$. a.s. and $f(X) \in L^{1}(P)$ Then: $f(\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{A}] \leq$ $\mathbb{E}[f(X) \mid \mathcal{A}]$

Proof. Simple exercises: 1,2,4,6,8
Good exercises: 3,5,7
Too difficult: 9,10

## 2 Stochastic Processes

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. From now on we will assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is complete, i.e. if $N \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\mu(N)=0$, then every subset of $N$ is measurable (and then of course has measure zero).

Definition 12. A filtration on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is a family of $\sigma$-fields $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{t} \subseteq \mathcal{F}, \forall 0 \leq s<t<\infty$.

Definition 13. A process $X: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{F}} \times \mathcal{F}$-measurable.
Notation: $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},(t, \omega) \rightarrow X_{t}(\omega)$ or $X(t, \omega)$
Example 6. $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ a stock price. A possible filtration $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}=\sigma\left(X_{s}: s \in[0, t]\right)$, our knowledge at time $t$.

Convention: $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ contains all null sets of $\mathcal{F}$ otherwise replace $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{t}=\{\mathcal{B} \in$ $\mathcal{F}: \exists \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$ s.t. $\left.P(\mathcal{A} \Delta \mathcal{B})=0\right\}$ where $\mathcal{A} \Delta \mathcal{B}$ is the symmetric difference.

Definition 14. $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called adapted to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ if $\forall t \geq 0: \omega \rightarrow X_{t}(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable

Definition 15. $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called progressively measurable if $\forall T \geq 0 X$ restricted to $[0, T] \times \Omega$ is $\mathcal{B}_{[0, T]}$

Observation: $X$ progressively measurable $\Rightarrow X$ is adapted.
Definition 16. $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ are called modifications or versions if $\forall t \geq$ $0, P\left(X_{t}=Y_{t}\right)=1$.
$\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ are called indistinguishable if $P\left(X_{t}=Y_{t}, \forall t \geq 0\right)=1$.
Theorem 10. Assume $X$ is adapted to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and $X$ is left or right-continuous, then $X$ is progressively measurable.

Definition 17. $X$ is called cadlag if it has right-continuous paths and $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ : $\forall t>0: \lim _{s \uparrow t} X_{s}(\omega)$ exists. caglad left-continuous and right limits exists.

Theorem 11. Assume $X, Y$ are right-continuous. Assume: $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}$is dense and countable. If $\forall t \in S: P\left(X_{t}=Y_{t}\right)=1$, then $X$ and $Y$ are indistinguishable. Similar for left-continuous if $0 \in S$.

Proof. Let $\forall s \in S: V_{s}=\left\{X_{s}=Y_{s}\right\}$. Then $P\left(V_{s}\right)=1$. Let $\Omega_{0}=\bigcap_{s \in S} V_{s}$, then $P\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=1$.
Claim: $\forall \omega \in \Omega_{0}, \forall t>0 X_{t}=Y_{t}$ thus $P\left(X_{t}=Y_{t}, \forall t>0\right)=P\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=1$.
Definition 18. $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a stopping time if $\forall t \in(0, \infty):\{\tau<$ $t\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$

Example 7. First time a stock price is $>100$.
First time a stock price is lower than the price a week before.
Theorem 12. $X$ adapted and continuous, $H \in \mathbb{R}$ is closed. Define: $\tau_{H}(\omega)=$ $\inf \left\{\tau \geq 0: X_{t}(\omega) \in H\right\}$, then $\tau_{H}$ is a stopping time.

### 2.1 Quadratic variation

We start with bounded variation from section 1.1.9.
Given $F:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define: $V_{F}(t):=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|F\left(S_{i}\right)-F\left(S_{i-1}\right)\right|: a=S_{0}<\right.$ $\left.S_{1}<\cdots<S_{n}=b\right\}$. $F$ has bounded variation if $V_{F}(b)<\infty$.
Observation: $V_{F}(0)=0, V_{f}$ is non-decreasing.
Notation: BV $[a, b]$ is space of functions of bounded variation.
Theorem 13. $F \in B V[a, b] \Longleftrightarrow F$ is the difference of two nondecreasing functions: $F=F_{1}-F_{2}$.

Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral: $F$ increasing on $[a, b]$ then $\Lambda_{f}(u, v]=F(v)-$ $F(u)$ extends to a positive Borel measure $\Lambda_{F}$ on $[a, b]$, which is called the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure.
Notation: $\int_{(a, b]} g \mathrm{~d} \Lambda_{F}$ or $\int_{(a, b]} g(x) \mathrm{d} F(x)$ for the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.
Careful if $F$ has a jump in $t$, then $\Lambda_{F}(\{t\})=F(t)-F(t-)$.
An idea for quadratic variation is $\sum\left(F\left(S_{i}\right)-F\left(S_{i-1}\right)\right)^{2}$, but we want more. Given $\pi(t)=\left\{0=t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}=t\right\}$ a mesh on $[0, t]$ and process $Y$. Let $V_{y}^{2}(\pi(t))=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left|Y_{t_{i+1}}(\omega)-Y_{t_{i}}(\omega)\right|^{2}$.
We say that $V_{Y}^{2}$ converges in probability to process $Z$ if $\forall \epsilon>0 \exists \delta>0: \forall t>$ $0, \forall \pi(t), \operatorname{mesh}(\pi)<\delta \Rightarrow P\left(\left|V_{Y}^{2}(\pi(t))-Z_{t}\right|>\epsilon\right)<\epsilon$
Notation: $[Y]_{t}=\lim _{\operatorname{mesh}(\pi) \rightarrow 0} V_{Y}^{2}(\pi(t))$ in probability.
Definition 19. $[Y]=\left([Y]_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called the quadratic variation process of $Y$ if

- the limit exists.
- There exists a version of $[Y]$ s.t. $\forall \omega: t \rightarrow[Y]_{t}(\omega)$ is nondecreasing.

Definition 20. $[X, Y]=\frac{1}{4}[X+Y]-\frac{1}{4}[X-Y]$ if the right hand side exists.

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{mesh} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i}\left(X_{t_{i+1}}-X_{t_{i}}\right)\left(Y_{t_{i+1}}-Y_{t_{i}}\right)=[X, Y]_{t}
$$

where we use the fact that $\frac{1}{4}(a+b)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(a-b)^{2}=a b$
Also: $[X, Y]_{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left([X+Y]_{t}-[X]-[Y]\right)$
Theorem 14. If $X, Y$ are cadlag and $[X, Y]$ exists then $[X, Y]$ has a cadlag modification and $\Delta[X, Y]_{t}=\left(\Delta X_{t}\right)\left(\Delta Y_{t}\right)$. Here $\Delta Z_{t}=Z_{t}-Z_{t-}$ for $Z$ cadlag.
Theorem 15. $\left|[X, Y]_{t}-[X, Y]_{s}\right| \leq\left([X]_{t}-[X]_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left([Y]_{t}-[Y]_{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Theorem 16 (Kunita-Watanabe inequality). Assume that $[X],[Y],[X, Y]$ exist and are right-continuous. Then for bounded and measurable functions $G, H$ : $[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{[0, T]} G(t, \omega) H(t, \omega) d[X, Y]_{t}(\omega)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{[0, T]} G(t, \omega)^{2} d[X]_{t}(\omega)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{[0, T]} H(t, \omega)^{2} d[Y]_{t}(\omega)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: by a Radon-Nikodym derivative this result also holds iwth

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{[0, T]} G(t, \omega) H(t, \omega)\right| \Lambda_{[X, Y](\omega)}|\mathrm{d} t| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{[0, T]} G(t, \omega)^{2} \mathrm{~d}[X]_{t}(\omega)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{[0, T]} H(t, \omega)^{2} \mathrm{~d}[Y]_{t}(\omega)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Brownian motion

Definition 21. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space with filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t>0}$. A process $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a one-dimensional Brownian motion w.r.t. $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ if:

1. For almost all $\omega \in \Omega: t \rightarrow B_{t}(\omega)$ is continuous.
2. $\forall 0 \leq s \leq t, B_{t}-B_{s}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ and has a normal distribution with $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}-B_{s}\right]=0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(B_{t}-B_{S}\right)^{2}\right]=t-s$

If additionally 3 . $B_{0}=0$ a.s. then $B$ is called a standard Brownian motion.
Theorem 17. Assume $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is rich enough. Then there exists a process $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian Motion w.r.t. $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$

## Two pages about the construction of Brownian Motion - Not relevant

 I think.Theorem 18. Let $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian Motion w.r.t. $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. Then $\forall s \leq t$ we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=B_{s}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}^{2}-t \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=B_{s}^{2}-s$

Proof. We start with noticing that $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}-B_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}-B_{s}\right]=0$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}-B_{s}+B_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=B_{s}$. And $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(B_{t}-B_{s}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=t-s$ thus $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}^{2}-2 B_{t} B_{s}+B_{s}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=t-s$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t} B_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=B_{s} \mathbb{E}\left[B_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=B_{s}^{2}$.
Conclusion: $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{t}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-B_{s}^{2}=t-s$
Theorem 19. $[B]_{t}=t$, moreover for all partitions $\pi$ we have that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m(\pi)-1}\left(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_{i}}\right)^{2}-t\right)^{2}\right] \leq 2 \operatorname{tmesh}(\pi)
$$

Thus $\sum_{i=0}^{m(\pi)-1}\left(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_{i}}\right)^{2} \rightarrow t$ in $L^{2}(p)$ and in $P$ as $\operatorname{mesh}(\pi) \rightarrow 0$.
Theorem 20. Almost surely for all $T>0$, the path $t \mapsto B_{t}(\omega)$ is not a member of $B V[0, T]$.

## 4 Uniform integrability and Martingales

### 4.1 Uniform integrability

Definition 22. A collection $C$ of random variables is called uniformly integrable (UI) if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{Z \in C} \int_{\{|Z|>r\}}|Z| \mathrm{d} P=0
$$

Example 8. If $X \in L^{1}$, then $C=\{X\}$ is UI.
Example 9. If $X \in L^{1}$ then $C=\{Z: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:|Z| \leq|X|$ a.s. $\}$ is UI.
Theorem 21. Let $p>1$. If $C \subseteq L^{p}$ and $K:=\sup _{Z \in C}\|Z\|_{L^{p}}<\infty$ then $C$ is UI.

Example 10. $\Omega=[0,1], P$ is Lebesgue-measure. $X_{n}=n \mathbf{1}_{\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]}, n \geq 1$. Then $C=\left\{X_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is not UI.
Indeed, given $r>0$ choose $n>r$. Then $\int_{\left\{\left|X_{n}\right|>r\right\}}\left|X_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} P=\int\left|X_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} P=1$. Thus $\sup _{X \in C} \int_{\left\{\left|X_{n}\right|>r\right\}}\left|X_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} P=1$ for all $r>0$.

Theorem 22. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Let $X \in L^{1}(P)$ and define $C:=\{\mathbb{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}]: \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$. Then $C$ is uniformly integrable.

Theorem 23 (Bounded convergence theorem). Assume $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability. Assume $\exists K>0: \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \omega \in \Omega\left|X_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq K$, then $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{1}$

Theorem 24. Let $X_{n}, X \in L^{1}$.

$$
X_{n} \rightarrow X \text { in } L^{1} \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{n} \rightarrow X \text { in probability } . \\
\left\{X_{n}: n \geq 1\right\} \text { is UI. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 4.2 Martingales

Definition 23. $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a martingale w.r.t. $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ if

1. $M_{t} \in L^{1}(P)$
2. $\left(M_{t}\right)$ is $(\mathcal{F})_{t}$-adapted.
3. $\forall 0 \leq s<t: \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{S}\right]=M_{s}$ almost surely

Submartingale: Replace 2. by $\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{S}\right] \geq M_{s}$ Supermartingale: Replace 2. by $\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{S}\right] \leq M_{s}$

Note that $\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \geq M_{s} \Longleftrightarrow \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} M_{t}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} M_{s}\right]$
M is called square integrable if $\forall t \geq 0: \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2}\right]<\infty$. The discrete definition is analogue.

Theorem 25. If $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale and $\phi$ is convex and $\forall t>0: \phi\left(M_{t}\right) \in$ $L^{1}$ then $\phi\left(M_{t}\right)$ is a submartingale.

Proof. Jensen's inequality for $s<t: \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(M_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \geq \phi\left(\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right)=\phi\left(M_{s}\right)$.

### 4.3 Optional stopping

We extend the times used in the definition of martingales to stopping times.
Notation: $x \wedge y=\min \{x, y\}$ and $x \vee y=\max \{x, y\}$.
First the discrete case:
Theorem 26 (Lemma 3.4). Let $M$ be a submartingale. Assume that $\tau$ and $\sigma$ are stopping times whose values lie in an ordered countable set $\left\{s_{1}<s_{2}<s_{3}<\right.$ $\ldots\} \cup\{\infty\}$ where $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any $T<\infty$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau \wedge T} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right]=M_{\sigma \wedge \tau \wedge T}
$$

Theorem 27 (Lemma 3.5). Let $M$ be a submartingale with right-continuous paths and $T<\infty$. Let $\rho$ be a stopping time with $P(\rho<T)=1$. Then:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[M_{\rho}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{+}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[M_{0}\right]
$$

so $M_{\rho} \in L^{1}$.
Theorem 28. Let $M$ be a right-continuous submartingale. Let $\sigma, \tau$ be stopping times, $T<\infty$. Then $\mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau \wedge T} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right] \geq M_{\sigma \wedge \tau \wedge T}$. Note the integrability by lemma 3.5

Theorem 29 (Corollary 3.7). Suppose $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a right-continuous (sub)martingale and $\tau$ is a stopping time. Then $M^{\tau}=\left(M_{t \wedge \tau}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a right-continuous (sub)martingale. If $M$ is an $L^{2}$ martingale, then $M^{\tau}$ is as well.

Theorem 30 (Corollary 3.8). Suppose $M$ is a right-continuous submartingale. Let $\{\sigma(u): u \geq 0\}$ be nondecreasing, $[0, \infty)$-values process such that $\sigma(u)$ is a bounded stopping time for each $u$. Then $\left\{M_{\sigma(u)}: u \geq 0\right\}$ is a submartingale with respect to the filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma(u)}: u \geq 0\right\}$

## 5 Further investigating martingales

### 5.1 Inequalities and limits

Towards Doob's inequality:
Theorem 31 (Lemma 3.9). Let $M$ be a submartingale, $0<T<\infty$ and $H$ a finite subset of $[0, T]$. Then for all $r>0$

$$
P\left(\left\{\max _{t \in H} M_{t} \geq r\right\}\right) \leq r^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{+}\right]
$$

and

$$
P\left(\left\{\min _{t \in H} M_{t} \leq r\right\}\right) \leq r^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{+}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[M_{0}\right]\right)
$$

Theorem 32 (Doobs mean). Let $M$ be a right-continuous submartingale and $0<T<\infty$. Then for all $r>0$ :

$$
P\left(\left\{\sup _{t \in H} M_{t} \geq r\right\}\right) \leq r^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{+}\right]
$$

and

$$
P\left(\left\{\inf _{t \in H} M_{t} \leq r\right\}\right) \leq r^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{+}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[M_{0}\right]\right)
$$

Theorem 33 (Doob's Inequality). Let $M$ be a nonnegative, right-continuous submartingale and $0<T<\infty$. Then for $1<p<\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} M_{t}^{p}\right] \leq\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{p}\right] } \\
& P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} M_{t} \geq C\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{p}\right]}{C^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 11. For example if $\left(N_{t}\right)$ is a right-continuous martingale, we can apply Doob's inequality on $M_{t}=\left|N_{t}\right|$.

Most important cases of martingale convergence: $M_{t}$ is a martingale with $\sup _{t<\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|M_{t}\right|\right]<\infty$ then $M_{\infty}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} M_{t}$ exists almost surely and $M_{\infty} \in L^{1}$. Convergence need not be in $L^{1}$. This holds if and only if $\left\{M_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ is uniformly integrable.

### 5.2 Local martingales and semimartingales

Notation: For process $X, \tau$ a stopping time we denote with $X_{t}^{\tau}=X_{t \wedge \tau .} X^{\tau}$ is called the stopped process.

Definition 24. $M_{t}$ is called a local martingale if

1. $M_{t}$ is $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ adapted.
2. There exists a sequence of stopping times $\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{2} \leq$ $\ldots, \tau_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and $\forall k: M^{\tau_{k}}$ is a martingale.
$\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k}$ is called a localizing sequence for $M$.
$M$ is called a local square integrable martingale if $1 ., 2$. and $M^{\tau_{k}} \in L^{2}$ for all $k$.
Remark: If $M$ has continuous paths, we can take $\tau_{k}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0:\left|M_{t}\right| \geq k\right\}$ as a localizing sequence. Moreover $\left|M_{t}^{\tau_{k}}\right| \leq k$

Definition 25. A cadlag process $Y$ is called a semimartingale if there exists a local martingale $M$ with $M_{0}=0$ and there exists a finite variation process $V$ with $V_{0}=0$ such that $Y_{t}=M_{t}+V_{t}+Y_{0}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Continuous semimartingale: if additionally $M, V$ are continuous.

### 5.3 Quadratic variation for Semimartingales

Remember that $[B]_{t}=t$ for a Brownian Motion and $[B, Y]_{t}=0$ if $B, Y$ are independent Brownian Motions.

Theorem 34 (Theorem 3.26). Let $M$ be a right-continuous local martingale, then $[M]$ exists and there is a version of $[M]$ which is:

- real-valued (so no $\infty$ )
- right-continuous
- nondecreasing
- adapted
- $[M]_{0}=0$

If $M$ is an $L^{2}-$ martingale then $\lim _{\text {mesh }(\pi) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{m(\pi)-1}\left|M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} \rightarrow[M]_{t}$ is in $L^{1}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[[M]_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2}-M_{0}^{2}\right]$
If $M$ is continuous, then $[M]$ has a version, which is continuous.
Theorem 35 (Lemma 3.27). Let $M$ be a right-continuous local martingale. Let $\tau$ be a stopping time. Then $\left[M^{\tau}\right]=[M]^{\tau}$. This means that for all $t \geq 0$ : $\left[M^{\tau}\right]-T=[M]_{\tau \wedge t}$
Theorem 36 (Theorem 3.28). If $M$ is a right-continuous (local) $L^{2}$-martingale then $M^{2}-[M]$ is as well.

If $M, N$ are right-continuous (local) $L^{2}$-martingales then $[M, N]$ also exists and $\left[M^{\tau}, N\right]=\left[M^{\tau}, N^{\tau}\right]=[M, N]^{\tau}$.
Moreover $M N-[M, N]$ is also a (local) $L^{2}$-martingale again.
Theorem 37 (Corallary 3.31). Let $M$ be a cadlag local martingale, $V$ a cadlag $F V$ process $M_{0}=V_{0}=0$, and $Y=Y_{0}+M+V$ the cadlag semimartingale. Then $[Y]$ exists and is given by:

$$
[Y]_{t}=[M]_{t}+2[M, V]_{t}+[V]_{t}
$$

Furthermore, $\left[Y^{\tau}\right]=[Y]^{\tau}$

## 6 Spaces of martingales and Stochastic Integration

### 6.1 Spaces of martingales

From now on only continuous $L^{2}$-martingales $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ and sometimes local $\mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$. Remind from analysis: $C[a, b]$ with $\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|f(t)|$ is complete. Furthermore $L^{2}(p)$ is complete. $\|X\|_{L^{2}}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Possible norm on martingales on $[0, T]$ would be $\left\|M_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. But note that for all $t \in[0, T]\left\|M_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|M_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}$, even more: $\left\|\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|M_{t}\right|\right\|\left\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2\right\| M_{T} \|_{L^{2}}$ Thus $\left(M^{(n)}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ sequence such that $M_{T}^{(n)}$ is Cauchy in $L^{2}(p)$ implies $\forall \epsilon>0$

$$
P\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|M_{t}^{(n)}-M_{t}^{(m)}\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|M_{T}^{(n)}-M_{T}^{(m)}\right|^{2}\right]}{\epsilon^{2}}
$$

by Doob's inequality. This is called $\left(M^{(n)}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly Cauchy in probability. After some calculations we find that $\left\|M_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ could become $\infty$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we define

$$
\|M\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\left(1 \wedge\left\|M_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)
$$

but there are many other equivalent choices possible.
This is not a norm because $\|a M\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} \neq|a| \cdot\|M\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}}$ but $\mathrm{d}_{M_{2}}(M, N)=\| M-$ $N \mid \|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}}$ is a metric.

Theorem 38 (Theorem 3.40). Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ be complete. Then $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ is a complete metric space under the metric $d_{\mathcal{M}_{2}}$.

Theorem 39. If $M^{(n)} \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$, then:

$$
\forall T<\infty, \forall \epsilon>0: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|M_{t}^{(n)}-M_{t}\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0
$$

This is called uniform convergence on compact intervals.
Furthermore there exists a subsequence $\left(M^{\left(n_{k}\right)}\right)$ and $\Omega_{0} \subseteq \Omega$ such that $P\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=$ 1 and for each $\omega \in \Omega_{0}, \forall T<\infty$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|M_{t}^{\left(n_{k}\right)}(\omega)-M_{t}(\omega)\right|=0
$$

### 6.2 Stochastic integration of predictable processes

We only consider $\int X \mathrm{~d} Y$ with $Y$ continuous to simplify the presentation in the lectures.

Definition 26. $\rho$ is the smallest $\sigma$-algebra which contains $(s, t] \times F$ with $0 \leq$ $s<t<\infty, F \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$ and $\{0\} \times F_{0}$ with $F_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}$
$\rho$ is called predictable $\sigma$-algebra
( $s, t] \times F$ is called predictable rectangle.

Theorem 40 (Lemma 5.1). A process is $\rho$-measurable if and only if it can be approximated by (left)-continuous adapted processes

Proof. We proof that a left-continuous adapted process $X$ is $\rho$-measurable.
Rewrite $X_{n}(t, \omega)=X_{0}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X_{i 2^{-n}} \mathbf{1}_{\left[i 2^{-n},(i+1) 2^{-n}\right]}(t)$
Now $\left\{X_{n} \in \mathcal{B}\right\}=\underbrace{\{0\} \times\left\{X_{0} \in \mathcal{B}\right\}}_{\in \rho} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(i 2^{-n},(i+1) 2^{-n}\right] \times\left\{X_{i 2^{-n}} \in \mathcal{B}\right\}}_{\in \rho}$. Thus
$\left\{X_{n} \in \mathcal{B}\right\} \in \rho$, thus $X_{n}$ is $\rho$-measurable.
Also by left continuity $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ on $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ thus $X$ is $\rho$-measurable.
Remarks: Not all right-continuous adapted processes are predictable.
$X:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the Borel-measure is predictable.
Doleans measure: $\mu_{M}$ on $\rho$ Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ then Doleans measure is defined as:

$$
\mu_{M}(A)=\int_{\Omega} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mathbf{1}_{A}(t, \omega) \mathrm{d}[M]_{t}(\omega) \mathrm{d} P(\omega)
$$

The meaning of this formula is that first, for each fixed $\omega$, the function $t \mapsto$ $\mathbf{1}_{A}(t, \omega)$ is integrated by the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure $\Lambda_{[M](\omega)}$ of the function $t \mapsto[M]_{t}(\omega)$. The resulting integral is a measurable function of $\omega$, which is then averaged over the probability space.
Convention: $\Lambda_{[M](\omega)}(\{0\})=0$.
Note: $\mu_{M}([0, T] \times \Omega)=\mathbb{E}\left[[M]_{t}-[M]_{0}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t}^{2}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[M_{0}^{2}\right]<\infty$ thus $\mu_{M}$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure.

Example 12. Assume $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t}$ is a standard Brownian Motion and $\mu_{B}=m \otimes p$ where $m$ is the Lebesgue measure. Indeed: $\mu_{B}(B)=\int_{\Omega} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mathbf{1}_{A}(t, \omega) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} P(\omega)=$ $m \otimes P(A)$

Definition 27. For $X: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ predictable:

$$
\|X\|_{\mu_{M, T}}=\left(\int_{[0, T] \times \Omega}|X|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[0, T]}|X(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d}[M]_{t}\right]
$$

$\mathcal{L}_{2}=\mathcal{L}_{2}(M, P)$ is the set of all predictable $X$ such that $\forall T<\infty:\|X\|_{\mu_{M, T}}<\infty$ A metric on $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ is defined as:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}(X, Y)=\|X-Y\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}
$$

with

$$
\|X\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\left(1 \wedge\|X\|_{\mu_{M}, k}\right)
$$

Here we identify processes which are $\mu_{M}$ almost everywhere equal.
Example 13. Let $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian Motion and $X$ a predictable process. Then we have that $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$ if and only if

$$
\forall T<\infty: X \in L^{2}((0, T] \times \Omega)
$$

Example 14. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$. If $\forall T<\infty \exists C_{T}, \forall \omega, t\left|X_{t}(\omega)\right| \leq C_{T}$ and $X$ predictable, then $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[0, T]}|X(s)|^{2} \mathrm{~d}[M]_{s}\right] & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[0, T]} C_{T}^{2} \mathrm{~d}[M]_{S}\right] \\
& =C_{T}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[[M]_{T}-[M]_{0}\right] \\
& =C_{T}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}^{2}-M_{0}^{2}\right]<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.3 Construction of the stochastic integral

Our goal is to define $(X \cdot M)_{t}:=\int_{(0, t]} X \mathrm{~d} M$ for $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M, P)$
Step $1 X \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$ a simple predictable process.
Step 2 Prove $L^{2}$-isometry for $X \cdot M$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|(X \cdot M)_{T}\right|^{2}\right]=\|X\|_{\mu_{M}, T} \text { for } X \in \mathcal{S}_{2}
$$

Step 3 Approximation/density argument for $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M, P)$. Here completeness of $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ plays a crucial role.

Step 4 Localization: no integrability conditions on $\Omega$
Step 5 Extension to continuous semimartingales.
Definition 28. A process $X$ of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{t}(\omega)=\xi_{0}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_{i}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}(t) \\
\text { with } 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \text { and } \xi_{i} \text { is } \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}} \text {-measurable. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called a simple predictable process, notation $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$

## 7 Stochastic Integration

### 7.1 Step 1,2 and 3

Definition 29. A process $X$ of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{t}(\omega)=\xi_{0}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_{i}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}(t) \\
\text { with } 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \text { and } \xi_{i} \text { is } \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}} \text {-measurable. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called a simple predictable process, notation $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$
Theorem 41 (Lemma 5.6). $X$ of the form is indeed predictable
Proof. By linearity it suffices to consider $\xi \mathbf{1}_{(a, b]}$ with $\xi \mathcal{F}$-measurable. Now approximate $\xi$ by simple random variables to get predictable rectangles. Similarly for $\xi \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}$

Definition 30. For $X$ a simple predictable process and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ we define the stochastic integral to be:

$$
(X \cdot M)_{t}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_{i}(\omega)\left(M_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}(\omega)-M_{t_{i} \wedge t}(\omega)\right)
$$

Remarks: The value at zero of $X$ and $M$ are irrelevant. Adding a $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable random variable to $M$ does not change the stochastic integral.
Two other notations: $\int_{0}^{t} X \mathrm{~d} M$ and $I(X)$ for $X \cdot M$.
Theorem 42 (Lemma 5.8). 1. The stochastic integral does not depend on its representation.
2. The integral is linear.

Theorem 43. Let $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$, then $X \cdot M \in M_{2}^{C}$ and the following $L^{2}$-isometries hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|(X \cdot M)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, P)} & =\|X\|_{L^{2}\left((0, t) \times \Omega, \mu_{M}\right)}  \tag{1}\\
\|X \cdot M\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} & =\|X\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we continue with step 3 :
Theorem 44 (Lemma 5.10). For any $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$ there exists a sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|X-X_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}=0$
Definition 31. Take $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ and $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M)$. Choose $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$ such that $\left\|X-X_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Now we define the stochastic integral for $\bar{X}$ to be

$$
(X \cdot M)_{t}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(X_{n} \cdot M\right)_{t}
$$

Existence of limit. $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ exists by lemma 5.10. Also:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X_{n} \cdot M-X_{m} \cdot M\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} & =\left\|\left(X_{n}-X_{m}\right) \cdot M\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} \\
& =\left\|X_{n}-X_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|X_{n}-X\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}+\left\|X-X_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(X_{n} \cdot M\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $M_{2}^{C}$ hence converges by the completeness of $M_{2}^{C}$. Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n} \cdot M$ exists in $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$
Uniqueness: Take $Z_{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$ such that $Z_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{L}_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X_{n} \cdot M-Z_{n} \cdot M\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} & =\left\|\left(X_{n}-Z_{n}\right) \cdot M\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}} \\
& =\left\|X_{n}-Z_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|X_{n}-X\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}+\left\|Z_{n}-X\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(Z_{n} \cdot M\right)_{n \geq 1}$ has the same limit as $\left(X_{n} \cdot M\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$. Thus $(X \cdot M)_{t}$ is unique up to indistinguishability.

Theorem 45 (Proposition 5.12). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}, X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M)$ then $\forall t<\infty$ $\left\|(X \cdot M)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, P)}=\|X\|_{L^{2}\left((0, t) \times \Omega, \mu_{M}\right.}$ and $\|X \cdot M\|_{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}}=\|X\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(M)}$
In particular, if $X=Y, \mu_{M}$-almost surely, then $X \cdot M^{2}$ and $Y \cdot M$ are indistinguishable.

Proof. Just take limits in lemma 5.9. Als use the reverse triangle inequality:

$$
\|\|\phi\|-\| \psi\|\|\leq\| \phi-\psi\|
$$

## Properties of the stochastic integral

Theorem 46 (Proposition 5.14). This proposition gives some properties of the stochastic integral:

1. Linearity:

$$
(\alpha X+\beta B) \cdot M=\alpha(X \cdot M)+\beta(Y \cdot M)
$$

2. For any $0 \leq u \leq v$,

$$
\int_{(0, t]} \mathbf{1}_{[0, v]} X d M=\int_{(0, v \wedge t]} X d M
$$

and

$$
\int_{(0, t]} \mathbf{1}_{(u, v]} X d M=(X \cdot M)_{v \wedge t}-(X \cdot M)_{u \wedge t}=\int_{(u \wedge t, v \wedge t]} X d M
$$

3. For $s<t$ we have a condition form of the isometry:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left((X \cdot M)_{t}-(X \cdot M)_{s}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{(s, t]} X_{u}^{2} d[M]_{u} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
$$

Theorem 47 (Proposition 5.19). Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{2}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M, P) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}_{2}(N, P)$. Then $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(\alpha M+\beta N, P)$ and

$$
X \cdot(\alpha M+\beta N)=\alpha(X \cdot M)+\beta(X \cdot N)
$$

## 8 Stochastic Integration

### 8.1 Step 4 and 5

Last time we considered $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$, the continuous $L^{2}$-martingale and $(X \cdot M) \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ for $X \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(M)$.
Here $X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M) \Longleftrightarrow \forall T<\infty X \in L^{2}\left((0, T) \times \Omega, \mathrm{d} \mu_{M}\right)$
Theorem 48 (Proposition 5.16).

$$
\left(\left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]} X\right) \cdot M\right)_{t}=(X \cdot M)_{\tau \wedge t}=\left(X \cdot M^{\tau}\right)_{t}
$$

Today we only want to assume;

- $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }^{C}}$
- $X \in L^{2}((0, T),[M])$ almost surely for all $T<\infty$
but the problem is that there is no integrability in $\Omega$.
Example 15. $X_{t}=e^{B_{t}^{4}}, M=X \cdot B$ should exist and what is $M$ ? And what about $(Y \cdot M)_{t}$ ?

Recall that $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C} \Longleftrightarrow$ there exists a localizing sequence $\sigma_{k} \uparrow \infty$ such that $M^{\sigma_{k}} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$

Definition 32. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$. We say $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$ if $X$ is predictable and there exists stopping times $0 \leq \tau_{1} \leq \tau_{2} \leq \ldots$ such that

1. $P\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{k}=\infty\right)=1$
2. $M^{\tau_{k}} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ for all $k$
3. $\mathbf{1}_{\left[0, \tau_{k}\right]} X \in \mathcal{L}\left(M^{\tau_{k}}, P\right.$ for all $k$.

In this case $\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ is called a localizing sequence for $(X \cdot M)$.
Remark: $\mathbf{1}_{\left[0, \tau_{k}\right]}$ is predictable, because it is adapted and left-continuous.
Now the idea is to define $(X \cdot M)$ locally:

$$
Y^{k}=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left[0, \tau_{k}\right]} X \cdot M^{\tau_{k}}\right)
$$

and let $k \rightarrow \infty$. Here $k$ is an index.
Theorem 49 (Lemma 5.22). $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, l o c}^{C}$, $X$ predictable. If $\tau, \sigma$ are stopping times such that $M^{\sigma}, M^{\tau} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{C}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{[0, \sigma]} X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\sigma}\right), \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]} X \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\tau}\right)$. Define :

$$
Z_{t}:=\int_{(0, t]} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \sigma]} X d M^{\sigma}, \quad W_{t}:=\int_{(0, t]} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \tau]} X d M^{\tau}
$$

then $Z^{\sigma \wedge \tau}=W^{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ where we mean that the two processes are indistinguishable. By lemma 5.22 we have that $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ almost surely and $\forall t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t \wedge \tau_{k} \wedge \tau_{m}}^{k}=Y_{t \wedge \tau_{k} \wedge \tau_{m}}^{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\Omega_{0}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}=\infty, \forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \geq 0\right.$ (3) holds. $\}$. Then $P\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=1$ by countability of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 33. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}, X \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$ and $\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ a localizing sequence for $(X, M)$.
Now define the stochastic integral $\forall \omega \in \Omega_{0},(X \cdot M)_{t}(\omega)=Y_{t}^{k}(\omega), t \leq \tau_{k}(\omega)$ and $X \cdot M=0$ for $\omega \notin \Omega_{0}$

Remarks:

- The stochastic integral is well defined since $\tau_{k}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ and if $t \leq \tau_{k}(\omega) \wedge$ $\tau_{m}(\omega)$, then

$$
Y_{t}^{k}(\omega)=Y_{t \wedge \tau_{k} \wedge \tau_{m}}^{k}(\omega)=Y_{t \wedge \tau_{k} \wedge \tau_{m}}^{m}(\omega)=Y_{t}^{m}(\omega)
$$

- $(X \cdot M)_{t}^{\tau_{k}}=(X \cdot M)_{t \wedge \tau_{k}}=Y_{\tau_{k} \wedge t}^{K}=\left(Y^{k}\right)_{t}^{\tau_{k}}$ which is in $M_{2}^{C}$. Thus $X \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$ with localizing sequence $\tau_{k}$
- If we would use another localizing sequence $\left(\sigma_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ for $(X, M)$, this would yield the same $(X \cdot M)$ by lemma 5.22

Example 16 (Example 5.26). Let $B$ be a Brownian Motion, then

$$
X \in \mathcal{L}(B, P) \Longleftrightarrow X \text { predictable and } \forall T<\infty, \text { a.s. } \int_{0}^{T}|X(t, \omega)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty
$$

Theorem 50 (Corollary 5.29). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$ and $X$ continuous and adapted then $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$ and hence $X \cdot M$ is well-defined

Proof. Define $\sigma_{k}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ;\left|X_{t}\right| \geq k\right\}$ and $\tau_{k}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0:\left|M_{t}\right| \geq k\right\}$. Now $\sigma_{k} \wedge \tau_{k}$ is a localizing sequence for $(X \cdot M)$

Standard properties of $L^{2}$-integral extend to the localized setting:

- Linearity continues to hold
- Interchanging stopping times, if $X \in \mathcal{L}(M), Y \in \mathcal{L}(N), \tau$ a stopping time. If almost surely $X_{t}(\omega)=Y_{t}(\omega)$ and $M_{t}(\omega)=N_{t}(\omega)$ for $t \leq \tau(\omega)$ then $(X \cdot M)_{t \wedge \tau}=(Y \cdot N)_{t \wedge \tau}$

Theorem 51 (Proposition 5.32). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$ and $X$ be continuous and predictable. Now assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} 0 \leq \tau_{0}^{n} \leq \tau_{1}^{n} \leq \ldots$ are stopping times such that almost surely $\delta_{n}=\sup _{i} \tau_{i+1}^{n}-\tau_{i}^{n} \rightarrow 0$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Define $R_{n}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X\left(\tau_{i}^{n}\right)\left(M\left(\tau_{i+1}^{n} \wedge t\right)-M\left(\tau_{i}^{n} \wedge t\right)\right)$, then $R_{n} \rightarrow X \cdot M$ uniform, in probability on compact time intervals.

### 8.2 Semimartingale integrators

Let $Y$ be a continuous semimartingale, $Y_{t}=Y_{0}+M_{t}+V_{t}$ with $M_{0}=V_{0}=0$. Technical condition: there exist stopping times $\sigma_{n}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \mathbf{1}_{\left(0, \sigma_{n}\right)} X$ is bounded, where $X_{0}$ is not relevant.

Definition 34. Let $Y$ be a semimartingale and let $X$ be a predictable process for which the technical condition is satisfied. Then we define the integral of $X$ with respect to $Y$ as the process

$$
\int_{(0, t]} X \mathrm{~d} Y=\underbrace{\int_{(0, t]} X \mathrm{~d} M}_{\text {Stochastic integral in } \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}}+\underbrace{\int_{(0, t]} X \mathrm{~d} \Lambda_{v}(\mathrm{~d} s)}_{\text {Stieltjes integral for fixed } \omega}
$$

Thus $X \cdot Y$ is a semimartingale again.
By the next lemma the decomposition of $Y$ is unique, thus the stochastic integral is well defined. The well-definedness follows from the uniqueness of decomposition for continuous semimartingales $Y_{t}=Y_{0}+M_{t}+V_{t}=Y_{0}+N_{t}+W_{t}$. Thus $M_{t}-N_{t} \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}=W_{t}-V_{t}$. By the next result we show that $M_{t}=N_{t}$ and $W_{t}=V_{t}$.

Theorem 52 (Lemma). If $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}^{C}$ has finite variation, then $M=M_{0}$
Rest of 5.3 is selfstudy Proposition 5.36 is not needed because of the above lemma. Non-continuous case is to complicated for this lecture.

## 9 Itô's lemma

### 9.1 Quadratic Covariation

The lecture starts with repeating some information about quadratic covariation. I have not reposted the old results, but here are the new results:
When the Quadratic Covariation (QCV) exists it behaves like an innerproduct

$$
[\alpha X+\beta Y, Z]=\alpha[X, Z]+\beta[Y, Z]
$$

Theorem 53 (Lemma 5.54). $M_{n}, M, N_{n}, N$ are $L^{2}$-martingales and $0 \leq T<$ $\infty$. Furthermore suppose that $M_{n}(T) \rightarrow M(T)$ and $N_{n}(T) \rightarrow N(T)$ in $L^{2}$.
Then $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\left[M_{n}, N_{n}\right]_{t}-[M, N]_{t}\right|\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
Theorem 54. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}, G \in \mathcal{L}(M, P), H \in \mathcal{L}(N, P)$.
Then $[G \cdot M, H \cdot N]_{t}=\int_{(0, t]} G_{s} H_{s} d[M, N]_{s}$

### 9.2 Change of integrator/Substitution rule

Theorem 55 (Proposition 5.58). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}, G \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$. We already know that $N:=G \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{2, \text { loc }}$. Let $H \in \mathcal{L}(N, P)$. Then $H G \in \mathcal{L}(M, P)$ and $H \cdot N=(H G) \cdot M$

Theorem 56 (Corollary 5.59). Let $Y$ be a cadlag semimartingale and $H$ be predictable satisfying (5.66): there exists a sequence ( $\sigma_{N}$ ) with $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \infty$ a.s. such that $\mathbf{1}_{\left(0, \sigma_{n}\right]} H$ is bounded for each $n$.
We know that $X=H \cdot Y$ is a cadlag semimartingale. Let $G$ be predictable satisfying (5.66), then $\int G d X=\int G H d Y$

Theorem 57 (Theorem 5.62). Let $Y, Z$ be cadlag semimartingales. $G, H$ predictable satisfying (5.66). Then $[G \cdot Y, H \cdot Z]_{t}=\int_{(0, t])} G_{s} H_{s} d[Y, Z]_{t}$

Theorem 58 (Proposition 5.63). Let $Y, Z$ be continuous semimartingales and $G$ an adapted, continuous process. Let $\pi=\left\{0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots, t_{i} \uparrow \infty\right\}$ a partition of $[0, \infty)$.
Then $R_{t}(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{t_{i}}\left(Y_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}-Y_{t_{i} \wedge t}\right)\left(Z_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}-Z_{t_{i} \wedge t}\right)$ converges to $\int_{0}^{t} G_{s} d[Y, Z]_{s}$ as $\operatorname{mesh}(\pi) \rightarrow 0$
This is what we call convergence in probability uniformly on compact intervals.
Theorem 59 (Theorem 5.60). Let $Y, Z$ be continuous semimartingales, then $[Y, Z]$ exists as continuous adapted $F V$ process and:

1. $[Y, Z]_{t}=Y_{t} Z_{t}-Y_{0} Z_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} Y_{s} d Z_{s}-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} d Y_{s}$ which is the stochastic version of integration by parts.
2. $Y Z$ is continuous semimartingale.
3. For continuous $H \int_{0}^{t} H_{s} d(Y Z)_{s}=\int_{0}^{t} H_{s} Y_{S} d Z_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} H_{s} Z_{s} d Y_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} H_{s} d[Y, Z]_{s}$

### 9.3 Itô's lemma

Theorem 60 (Theorem 6.1.0). Let $0<T<\infty$ and :

1. $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. has a continuous 2nd derivative.
2. $Y$ is a continuous semimartingale with quadratic variation $[Y]$

Then,

$$
f\left(Y_{t}\right)=f\left(Y_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(Y_{s}\right) d Y_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(Y_{s}\right) d[Y]_{s} \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

Both sides are continuous processes and ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}$ means that both sides are indistinguishable on $[0, T]$, i.e., $\exists \Omega_{0}, P\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=1$ such that $\forall \omega \in \Omega_{0}$ the equality holds for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Generalizations of theorem 6.1
2* $Y$ is cadlag instead of continuous. Then the integrals become: $\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(Y_{s-}\right) \mathrm{d} Y_{s}+$ $\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(Y_{s-}\right) \mathrm{d}[Y]_{s}$. An extra term/sum involving the jumps is needed:

$$
\sum_{s \in(0, t]}\left\{f\left(Y_{s}\right)-f\left(Y_{s-}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(Y_{s-}\right) \Delta Y_{s}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(Y_{s-}\right)\left(\Delta Y_{s}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

where the sum converges absolutely for a.e. $\omega$. All processes are now cadlag instead of continuous.

1* $f \in C^{2}(D)$ where $D$ is open in $\mathbb{R}$. We now need that $Y[0, T] \subseteq D$
3* Note that $1^{*}$ and $2^{*}$ combined is not enough for the theorem.
Remark 6.2: $f\left(Y_{t}\right)$ is a continuous semimartingale.
Theorem 61 (Corollary 6.3). (b) If $Y$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$ and continuous then $f\left(Y_{t}\right)=f\left(Y_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(Y_{s}\right) d Y_{s}$. This is the regular, nonstochastic integration theory.
(c) If $Y_{t}=Y_{0}+B_{t}$, where $B$ is a standard Brownian Motion independent of $Y_{0}$ then

$$
f\left(B_{t}\right)=f\left(Y_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(Y_{0}+B_{s}\right) d B_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(Y_{0}+B_{S}\right) d s
$$

### 9.4 Itô's formula in time and space

Theorem 62 (Theorem 6.1.1). Let $0<T<\infty, f \in C^{1,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ i.e. $f(t, x)$ is continuous differentiable in 1st variable and twice continuous differentiable in the 2nd varbiable. Furthermore $Y$ is a continuous semimartingale with quadratic variation $[Y]$. Then:
$f(t, Y(t))=f(0, Y(0))+\int_{0}^{t} f_{t}(s, Y(s)) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f_{x}(s, Y(s)) d Y(s)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f_{x x}(s, Y(s)) d[Y]_{s}$

We now generalize this theory to the $d$-dimension vector valued variant.
Theorem 63 (Theorem 6.5). Let $0<T<\infty, f \in C^{1,2}([0, T], D)$ where $D$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Furthermore $Y$ is $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued and a continuous semimartingale such that $\overline{Y([0, T])} \subseteq D$ almost surely. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, Y(t))=f(0, Y(0))+\int_{0}^{t} f_{t}(s, Y(s)) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} f_{x_{i}}(s, Y(s)) d Y(s) \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \int_{0}^{t} f_{x_{i} x_{j}}(s, Y(s)) d\left[Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right](s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Short hand notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} f(t, Y(t))= & f_{t}(t, Y(t)) \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{x_{i}}(t, Y(t)) \mathrm{d} Y(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} f_{x_{i} x_{j}}(t, Y(t)) \mathrm{d}\left[Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right](t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the special case that $Y(t)=B(t)=\left(B_{1}(t), \ldots, B_{d}(t)\right)$, the $d$-dimensional Brownian Motion. Notation:

- $f \in C^{1,2}\left(\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right.$
- $\nabla_{x} f=\left(f_{x_{1}}, \ldots, f_{x_{d}}\right)$ the gradient vector
- $\Delta_{x} f=\nabla_{x} \cdot \nabla_{x} f=\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{x_{i}, x_{i}}$, the Laplacian

Theorem 64 (Corollary 6.7). Let $B(t)$ be d-dimensional Brownian Motion, $f \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, B(t))=f(0, B(0))+\int_{0}^{t}\left(f_{t}\left(s, B(s)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x} f(s, B(s))\right) d s\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{x} f(s, B(s)) d B(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 10 Itô's formula

The continuous semimartingale class is preserved after transformation of $f(t, Y(t)$. This may not be the case if we work with martingales.
For $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(y) \mathrm{d} y$ we have that $\int_{0}^{t} f\left(B_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}=$ $F\left(B_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(B_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s$, which is the path-wise interpretation.
The short hand notation is $\mathrm{d} f\left(B_{t}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(B_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(B_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t$. This notation has no meaning, only through the integrated version.
Application of Itô formula: Beautiful and useful results can be derived from special choices of $f$.

## Preservation of Martingale property

Suppose that $Y(t)$ is continuous martingale and $f \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$.
Ito: $f(t, Y(t))=f(0, Y(0))+\int_{0}^{t}\left(f_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f_{x x}\right)(s, Y(s)) \mathrm{d}[Y]_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} f_{x}(s, Y(s)) \mathrm{d} Y(s)$. If 2 nd term on the right hand side is zero, then it is at least a local martingale. When is $\int_{0}^{t} f_{x}(s, Y(s)) \mathrm{d} Y(s)$ a martingale? One sufficient condition is for example, $Y$ is continuous $L^{2}$-martingale and $f_{x}(s, Y(s)) \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(M, P)$.

Theorem 65 (Lemma 6.9). Suppose $f \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $f_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f_{x x}=0$. Let $B_{t}$ be a one-dimensional standard Brownian Motion. Then $f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ is local $L^{2}$-martingale. If further $\int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{x}^{2}\left(t, B_{t}\right)\right] d t<\infty$ then $f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ is an $L^{2}$ martingale on $[0, T]$
This lemma can be extended to the $d$-dimensional Brownian Motion. When is a local martingale a martingale?

Exercise 3.7 $X$ a nonnegative local martingale with $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right]<\infty . X$ is a martingale $\Longleftrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right]$ for all $t>0$

Exercise 3.8 $M$ is a right-continous local martingale and $M_{t}^{*} \in L^{1}(P)$ then $M$ is a martingale

Corollary A continuous local martingale which is bounded a.s. is a martingale.
Example 17. Some applications of Lemma 6.9:

- $f(t, x)=x^{2}-t \Rightarrow B_{t}^{2}-t$ is a martingale.
- $f(t, x)=e^{\alpha x-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} t}$ then $f_{x}=\alpha f, f_{x x}=\alpha^{2} f$ and $f_{t}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} f=-\frac{1}{2} f_{x x}$ and therefore $e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} t}$ is a martingale.

Example 18 (Exit time of Brownian Motion with drift.). We have $X_{t}=\mu t+$ $\sigma B_{t}$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \neq 0 . \tau=\inf \left\{t>0: x_{t}=a\right.$ or $\left.x_{t}=b\right\}$ where $a<0, b>0$.
What is $P\left(X_{\tau}=b\right)$ ?
Propositions 6.11 and 6.12 are about recurrent/transience properties of Brownian Motion.

- One dimensional BM is (point) recurrent.
- Two dimensional BM is not point recurrent, but neighbourhood recurrent.
- d-dimensional $\mathrm{BM}(d \geq 3)$ is transient.

Theorem 66 (Theorem 6.14). Let $M$ be a continuous $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued local martingale and $X(t)=M(t)-M(0)$ such that $X(0)=0$. Then $X$ is a standard Brownian Motion relative to $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ iff $\left[x_{i}, X_{j}\right](t)=\delta_{i, j} t$ in particual $X$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{0}$

### 10.1 SDEs

Recall ordinary differential equations (ODE). For example it may be of the form $\dot{x}=f(t, x)$, equivalently $\mathrm{d} x(t)=f(t, x(t)) \mathrm{d} t$.
SDE: The stochastic variant will involve in the simplest case a $\mathrm{d} B_{t}$ term. For example, $\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}$.
We have seen earlier this type of equations as short hand notation for Ito formula. But there given $X_{t}=f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ we derived this short hand notation for-

## mula.

Now we have to do the reverse. Given this 'formula'/SDE, does there exist a process $X_{t}$ which satisfy this equation? Recall that this short-hand notation must be interpreted through integral form. That is still the case.

Definition 35. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete filtered probability space, and $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a standard Brownian motion defined on it. Suppose $\mu, \sigma:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable and $\eta$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable random variable. A stochastic process $\left(X_{t}\right), t \in[0, T]$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is called a strong solution of the SDE: $\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}$ with initial condition $X_{0}=\eta$ if the following assertions are true:

1. $X_{t}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted
2. $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T}\left|\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty$ almost surely.
3. For each $t \in[0, T]: X_{t}=\eta+\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(s, X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{S}$ almost surely.

Note that condition 2. assures that the integrals in 3. are well defined.
So given an SDE questions are about existence of a solution, if it exists, then uniqueness of it; and not unimportant, the properties of the solutions.
In an SDE: $\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}, \mu$ is called drift/instantaneous growth term and $\sigma^{2}$ is called the diffusion coefficient/instantaneous variance.

Example 19 (7.3). Consider the $\operatorname{SDE~} \mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu X_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma X_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t}$ with $X_{0}=x_{0} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$.
Let's see if $X_{t}=f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ can be a solution to such SDE.
Applying Itô formula to $f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ we have,

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[f\left(t, B_{t}\right)\right]=\left[f_{t}\left(t, B_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f_{x x}\left(t, B_{t}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t+f_{x}\left(t, B_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}
$$

so if there exists $f$ such that

$$
f_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f_{x x}=\mu \cdot f \text { and } f_{x}=\sigma f
$$

then $X_{t}=f_{t}\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ will be a solution.
$f_{x}=\sigma f \Rightarrow f(t, x)=g(t) e^{\sigma x}$ where $g$ is some function of $t$ only. Plugging this into the 1st expression yields: $\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g(t)} f+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} f=\mu f$. So if there exists a $g(t)$ such that $\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}-\mu$ then it will do.

But $\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}=\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \Rightarrow g=c e^{\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t}$ where $c$ is the integration constant. So $f(t, x)=c e^{\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t+\sigma x}$. Now consider $X_{t}=f\left(t, B_{t}\right)=c e^{\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t+\sigma B_{t}}$. It is not difficult (using Itô) that all conditions in the definition of a solution are satisfied.
To make sure that initial condition is satisfied one needs $c=x_{0}$. hence the complete solution is $X_{t}=x_{0} e^{\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t+\sigma B_{t}}$.
If $X_{0}$ was a random variable $\eta$ (which must be $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable and hence independent of $\left.\left(B_{t}\right)_{t>0}\right)$ then $X_{t}=\eta e^{\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t+\sigma B_{t}}$
This is one solution, are there any other solutions? That would be answered with no via a general result

## Properties

$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}[\eta] e^{\mu t}$ which grows exponentially assuming that $\mathbb{E}[\eta] \neq 0$, but $X_{t}=$ $\eta e^{t\left(\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right)+\sigma \frac{B_{t}}{t}\right.}$. The strong law of large numbers says that $\frac{B_{t}}{t} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. thus if $\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right)<0$ then $X_{t} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Here is another example of a sequence of random variables which converges to 0 a.s. but is expectations converge to $\infty$.

Example 20 (7.2 (Ornstein Uhlenbeck process).

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=-\alpha X_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma \mathrm{d} B_{t} \quad X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

Show that a solution of the form $X_{t}=f\left(t, B_{t}\right)$ does not exist.
So we need to use a different technique. Multiply both sides by the integrating factor $Z_{t}=e^{\alpha t}$. Then apply Itô formula to $(Z X)_{t}$ to obtain the solution:

$$
X_{t}=x_{0} e^{-\alpha t}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \mathrm{d} B_{s}
$$

## 11 Applications of Itô's formula

Brownian Bridge(Example 7.4) For fixed $0<t<1$ :

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=-\frac{X_{t}}{1-t} \mathrm{~d} t+\mathrm{d} B_{t} \text { with } X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

has the solution $X_{t}=x_{0}+e^{-\alpha t}+\sigma(1-t) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1-s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}$. $X_{t}$ is defined on $[0,1)$ and $X_{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \uparrow 1 . X_{t}$ is a Brownian motion conditioned at the end $(t=1)$ to be also zero.
$X_{t}=B_{t}-t B_{1}$ is also a Brownian bridge
Theorem 67 (Theorem 7.8). Consider the $S D E$ on the given space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ :

$$
d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) d B_{t}, t \in[0, T] ; X_{0}=\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{0}
$$

Suppose the coefficients b and $\sigma$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition:

$$
|b(t, x)-b(t, y)|^{2}+|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)|^{2} \leq L|x-y|^{2}
$$

for some constant $L>0$ and the spatial Growth condition

$$
|b(t, x)|^{2}+|\sigma(t, x)|^{2} \leq L\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)
$$

Then there exists a continuous, adapted process $X$ which is a solution of the SDE. Furthermore, the process $X$ is unique up to indistinguishability, i.e. if $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ are both solutions of the SDE then $P\left(X_{t}=Y_{t}\right.$ for allt $\left.\in[0, T]\right)=1$

Some useful results are listed below:
Theorem 68 (Gronwall's Lemma (Lemma A.20)). Let g be an integrable Borel function on $[a, b]$ and $f$ a non-decreasing function on $[a, b]$. Suppose there is a constant $c$ such that

$$
g(t) \leq f(t)+c \int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s \quad \forall t \in[a, b]
$$

Then $g(t) \leq f(t) e^{c(t-a)}$
Theorem 69 (Doob's maximum inequality). For square integrable continuous martingale $M$, and $0<T<\infty$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|M_{t}\right|^{2}\right] \leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left[\left|M_{T}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

Theorem 70 (Theorem 7.12). Suppose $\xi, \eta$ are $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable random variables. Assume $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are solutions to the same SDE with coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$ but with possibly different initial values $\xi$ and $\eta$, respectively. Then $X$ and $Y$ are indistinguishable, on the event $\{\xi=\eta\}$, i.e., $P\left(\left(X_{t}-Y_{t}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi=\eta\}}=0, \forall t \in[0, T]\right)=1$

Now a very long proof of this theorem followed, which I think is not relevant.

Theorem 71 (Theorem 7.14). Suppose $b$ and $\sigma$ are continuous functions of $(t, x)$ satisfying the growth and Lipschitz conditions.
Let $X$ be the strong solution of the SDE with coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$ (and with $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable $\xi$ as initial value) on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with $B$ a Brownian motion on it.
Let $\tilde{X}$ be the strong solution corresponding to the SDE with same coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$ but corresponding to $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}), \tilde{B}, \tilde{\xi}$.
Suppose $\xi=\tilde{\xi}$ in distribution.
Then the processes $X$ and $\tilde{X}$ have the same probability distribution. I.e., for any measurable set $A$ of $C_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}[0, T], P(X \in A)=\tilde{P}(\tilde{X} \in A)$

In the absence of the growth and Lipschitz conditions one may not always be able to find a (strong) solution defined on the given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ It is however, sometimes possible to define/construct

1. Another (filtered) probability space $\left(\Omega^{*}, \mathcal{F}^{*}, P^{*}\right)$
2. An $\mathrm{SBM} B_{t}^{*}$ on the new filtered space
3. An $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable $\xi^{*}$ with probability distribution same as that of $\xi$
4. A continuous adapted process $X_{t}^{*}$ w.r.t. the new filtered space such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|b\left(t, X_{t}^{*}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T}\left|\sigma\left(t, X_{t}^{*}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t<\infty
$$

and

$$
X_{t}^{*}=\xi^{*}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(s, X_{s}^{*}\right) \mathrm{d}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{s}^{*}
$$

Then $\left(\Omega^{*}, \mathcal{F}^{*}, P^{*}, \xi^{*},\left(B_{t}^{*}\right),\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)\right)$ is called the weak solution of the SDE

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}
$$

## 12 Girsanov's theorem

The main question of this section is: "Can a stochastic process with drift be viewed as one without drift? Or be transformed into one?"

$$
X_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s} \quad Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \mu_{s} \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}
$$

Because $X_{t}$ is a martingale, it is easier to analyze then $Y_{t}$ !

## Monte Carlo Integration

The Riemann sum is given by $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)$ for $x_{i}=\frac{i-1}{n}$. Monte Carlo integration is the same concept but now random variables are used to approximate the integral: $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}\right)$ for $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Unif}[0,1]$.
Now the Strong Law of Large Numbers yields that if $X_{i}$ 's are i.i.d. with finite expectation $\mu$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X]$ almost surely. Therefore we can approximate $\mathbb{E}[f(x)]$ by drawing large samples $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ from the distribution of $X$ and considering the sum $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}\right)$

$$
\int f(x) p(x) \mathrm{d} x=\mathbb{E}[f(x)] \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}\right), \quad X_{i} \sim p(x)
$$

In theory this is a very nice idea, but in practice it doesn't work for most cases. Let's see for example the case that we are interested in $P(X>30)$ for $X \sim$ $N(0,1)$. Then we can approximate this probability by $P(X>30)=\mathbb{E}[f(x)]$ for $f(x)=\mathbf{1}_{(30, \infty)}$ so that we have:

$$
P(X>30)=\mathbb{E}[f(X)] \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{(30, \infty)}, \quad X_{i} \sim N(0,1)
$$

If we define $D$ to be the number of draws before the first hit $\left(x_{i}>30\right)$, then $\mathbb{E}[D]>10^{100}$. So in practice this approximation is quite useless.

## Importance Sampling

For this problem importance sampling has been invented. By importance sampling we convert the problem so we can sample from more easy distributions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int f(x) p(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int f(x) \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} q(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int g(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \mathbb{E}^{P}[f(X)]=\mathbb{E}^{Q}[g(X)]=\mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[f(X) \frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to apply importance sampling fruitfully we need the ability to draw sample from density $q(x)$, the ability to calculate $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ and $q(x)>0$ whenever $p(x)>0$ (or equivalently $q(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p(x)=0$ )
If we get back to our previous example, for $p \sim N(0,1) ; q \sim N(\mu, 1)$ such that $p(x) / q(x)=e^{-\mu x+\frac{1}{2} \mu^{2}}$ and $P(X>30) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{X_{i}>30\right\}} e^{-\mu X_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \mu^{2}}\right]$, where $X_{i} \sim N(\mu, 1)$. So choosing a suitable value for $\mu$ improves the approximation.

## Change of Measure

So if we have the same random variable, but we want a different probability distribution? In that we case we define them on different probability measures. Consider $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and a random variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $X(\omega)=\omega$.
Consider probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$, given by:

- $P_{1}((a, b])=(b \wedge 1) \vee 0-(a \wedge 1) \vee 0$
- $P_{2}((a, b])=\Phi(b)-\Phi(a)$

Under $P_{1}, X \sim U(0,1)$ and under $P_{2}, X \sim N(0,1)$
Now consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and a random variable $X$ defined on it such that $X \sim N(0,1)$ under $P$. For some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $Z=e^{\mu X-\frac{1}{2} \mu^{2}}$, then $Z>0$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z]=1$. Define a new measure $Q$ on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}\right.$ by $Q(A)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} Z\right]$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Now $Q$ is a probability measure and under $Q, X \sim N(\mu, 1)$.

Theorem 72 (Girsanov Theorem). Suppose $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a d-dimensional Brownian Motion defined on the complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), 0<T<\infty$ is fixed and $H$ is an adapted measurable $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued process such that $\int_{0}^{T}|H(t)|^{2} d t<$ $\infty$ almost surely under $P$.
Let $Z_{t}=Z_{t}(H)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} H(s) d B(s)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}|H(s)|^{2} d s\right\}$.

- Assume that $\left\{Z_{t}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ is martingale. (Equivalent assumption: $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{T}\right]=$ $\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[Z_{t}\right]=1$.)
- Define the probability measure $Q=Q_{T}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{T}$ as $d Q=Z_{T} d P$
- Define the process $W(t)=B(t)-B(0)-\int_{0}^{t} H(s) d s$

Then $\{W(t), t \in[0, T]\}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian Motion on the probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, Q\right)$ w.r.t. the filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$

Remark 1: $M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} H(s) \mathrm{d} B_{s}$ is a continuous local martingale. Then Itô formula says that $Z_{t}=1+\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} \mathrm{~d} M_{s}=1+\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} H_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}$ such that $Z_{t}$ is a continuous local martingale.
Remark 2: $Z_{T} \geq 0 \Rightarrow Q$ is a positive measure and $Z_{t}$ is martingale $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{P}\left[Z_{T}\right]=$ $\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[Z_{0}\right]=1$ hence $Q$ is a probability measure.

## A Useful Observation

For $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, define $Q_{t}$ on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ as $\mathrm{d} Q_{t}=Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} P$. Suppose that $Z_{t}$ is a martingale. Then the family of measure $\left\{Q_{t}\right\}$ satisfy certain consistency properties: Let $s<t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_{s} \subset \mathcal{F}_{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{t}(A) & =\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} Z_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\nVdash_{A} Z_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} \mathbb{E}^{P}\left[Z_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right]=\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} Z_{s}\right] \\
& =Q_{s}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 21 (Application 1). Let $B_{t}$ be a standard Brownian Motion; $\alpha<$ $0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma$ : first time $B_{t}$ hits the (space-time) line $a-\mu t$. What is the probability distribution of $\sigma$ ?

- Define $X_{t}=B_{t}+\mu t$. Then $\sigma=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: B_{t}=a-\mu t\right\}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ; X_{t}=\right.$ a\}
- Use Girsanov's theorem with $H(s)=-\mu$ such that $Z_{t}=e^{-\mu B_{t}-\mu^{2} t / 2}$ and note that $Z_{t}$ is indeed a martingale. Now $Q_{t}(A)=\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} Z_{t}\right]$. such that $\left\{X_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ is a standard Brownian Motion under $Q_{t}$.
- Since $Z_{t}>0$, it holds that $P(A)=\mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A} Z_{t}^{-1}\right]$, for $A \in \mathcal{F}\left[\mathrm{~d} Q_{t}=\right.$ $\left.Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} P \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~d} P=Z_{t}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} Q_{t}\right]$ $Z_{t}^{-1}=e^{\mu B_{t}+\mu^{2} t / 2}=e^{\mu X_{t}-\mu^{2} t / 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\sigma>t) & =P\left(\inf _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}>a\right)=\mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\inf _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}>a\right\}} Z_{t}^{-1}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\inf _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}>a\right\}} e^{\mu X_{t}-\mu^{2} t / 2}\right] \\
& =e^{-\mu^{2} t / 2} \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(-X_{s}\right)<-a\right\}} e^{-\mu\left(-X_{t}\right)}\right] \\
& =e^{-\mu^{2} t / 2} \mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} M_{t}<-a\right\}} e^{-\mu B_{t}}\right] \text { where } M_{t}=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The joint distribution of $\left(B_{t}, M_{t}\right)$ is known.

Theorem 73 (Theorem 8.13). Suppose $H$ is adapted, measurable with $\int_{0}^{T}|H(t)|^{2} d t<$ $\infty$ almost surely under $P$. The process $Z_{t}-\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} H(s) d B(s)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}|H(s)|^{2} d s\right\}$ (which is a positive local martingale, and hence a supermartingale) is martingale under any of the following conditions:

- $H(t)$ is non-random
- $\left((H(t))\right.$ and $\left(B_{t}\right)$ are mutually independent processes.
- $\{H(t), t \in[0, T]\}$ is bounded
- $\int_{0}^{T}|H(s)|^{2} d s \leq C<\infty$ almost surely
- Novikov conditon: $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|H(s)|^{2} d s}\right]<\infty$

Theorem 74 (Theorem 8.17). Let $0<T<\infty, b:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ Borel measurable and $B_{t}$ a d-dimensional standard Brownian Motion. Consider the SDE:

$$
d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+d B_{t} \quad \text { with } X_{0} \sim \nu
$$

If $b$ is bounded, then the SDE has a weak solution for any initial distribution $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$

