Time Series Analysis (& SI)—191571090

(The first 1.5 hours it is CLOSED BOOK. The second 1.5 hours is OPEN BOOK.)

Date: 4-nov-2016 Place: Sports center Time: 08:45–11:45

1. CLOSED BOOK: Three questions:

- (a) Let r(0) = 3, $r(\pm 1) = 2$, $r(\pm 2) = 1$ and all other r(k) = 0. Is this a covariance function?
- (b) When do we say that an estimator is "efficient"?
- (c) Is it correct to claim that U_t defined as U_t = B(q)ε_t is in general not a good choice as input for system identification if B(z) has a zero on the unit circle (i.e. B(z₁) = 0 for some z₁ ∈ C with |z₁| = 1)?
- 2. CLOSED BOOK: Consider the ARMA process

$$X_t = aX_{t-2} + \epsilon_t + b\epsilon_{t-1}.$$

(Notice the "t - 2".)

- (a) For which a, b is X_t asymptotically wide sense stationary?
- (b) For which *a*, *b* is the scheme invertible.
- (c) Assume it is asymptotically WSS and invertible. Determine the 1-step ahead predictor
- (d) Assume it is asymptotically WSS and invertible. Determine the 2-step ahead predictor
- (e) Actually the standard formula for the 1-step ahead predictor assumes that ϵ_t is zero mean. How would you modify the above 1-step ahead predictor if $\mu := \mathbb{E} \epsilon_t$ is nonzero?
- (f) Determine all a, b for which X_t is white noise.
- 3. **CLOSED BOOK:** The Gauss-Markov theorem says that the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) $\hat{\theta} = KX$ of θ where

 $X = W\theta + \epsilon$,

equals the least squares solution if ϵ is zero mean and has covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I$. What is the BLUE $\hat{\theta} = KX$ in case ϵ is zero mean but has a diagonal covariance matrix of the form

$$R_{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. **CLOSED BOOK:** Given data x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1} is it numerically easier to estimate the parameters of an AR-model or of an MA-model?

1

5. **OPEN BOOK:** In § 6.1 it is proved that the variance of the sample mean $\hat{m}_N = \frac{1}{N}(x_0 + \dots + x_{N-1})$ for wide-sense stationary processes X_t is

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1}(1-|k|/N)r(k).$$

The problem, of course, is that we do not know r(k). Some researchers suggest to estimate this variance as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} (1 - |k|/N) \hat{r}_N(k)$$

for some suitable choice of *M*. How would you choose *M*? (Explain your choice of *M*.)

6. **OPEN BOOK:** Suppose X_t is zero mean white noise. The periodogram $p_N(\omega)$ is defined as

$$P_N(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} X_t \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t} \right|^2.$$

For each ω compute $\mathbb{E}(P_N(\omega))$.

7. **OPEN BOOK:** In the lecture notes the system h_t is resolved from Equation (8.10) through Fourier transformation, but it can also be done in time domain if we assume that the system has "finite impulse response" meaning that

$$y_t = \sum_{m=0}^{m=M} h_m u_{t-m} + v_t$$

for some finite M > 0. That is, $h_t = 0$ for all t > M.

(a) Show that the Equation (8.10) for finite impulse response systems implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_u(0) & r_u(1) & \cdots & r_u(M \not a) \\ r_u(1) & r_u(0) & \cdots & r_u(M \not a) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r_u(M \not a) & r_u(M \not a) & \cdots & r_u(0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ? \\ ? \\ \vdots \\ ? \end{bmatrix}$$

for certain numbers "?" and determine all these "?"

(b) Chapter 8 makes the point that u_t should be "sufficiently rich" (final part of § 8.1.3). Can you argue from the claim of Problem 3.10(c) of the lecture notes—page 26—that sufficiently rich ensures that the above equation has a unique solution h_0, \ldots, h_M ?

problem:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
points:	3+2+2	2+1+3+2+2+2	3	1	4	4	3+2

Exam grade is $1 + 9p/p_{\text{max}}$.